

## DES Block Cipher

DES: A remarkable well-engineered algorithm, that's old but had a powerful influence on cryptography (still used in ATM machines)

In 1972, NBS (now NIST) solicited for an encryption algorithm -- IBM responded with their *Lucifer* algorithm

The key-length is  $k = 56$  bits, and a block-length is  $n = 64$  bits

It consists of 16 rounds of what is called a "Feistel network"

### Algorithm overview:

```

function DESK(M) // |K| = 56 and |M| = 64
  (K1, ..., K16) ← KeySchedule(K) // |Ki| = 48 for 1 ≤ i ≤ 16
  M ← IP(M)
  Parse M as L0 || R0 // |L0| = |R0| = 32
  for r = 1 to 16 do
    Lr ← Rr-1; Rr ← f(Kr, Rr-1) ⊕ Lr-1
  C ← IP-1(L16 || R16)
  return C

```

Figure 2.1: The DES blockcipher. The text and other figures describe the subroutines *KeySchedule*, *f*, *IP*, *IP*<sup>-1</sup>.

## DES Block Cipher

The *KeySchedule* produces from the 56-bit key  $K$  (as input), a sequence of 16 subkeys (each 48-bits long), one for each of the rounds that follow

The initial permutation  $IP$  simply **permutes** the bits of  $M$  as given in the following table.

Here, the table indicates that bit 1 of the output is bit 58 of the input, bit 2 is bit 50, ..., bit 64 is bit 7 of the input

The key is NOT involved in this permutation and therefore, this permutation does not appear to affect the cryptographic strength of the algorithm

$IP$								$IP^{-1}$							
58	50	42	34	26	18	10	2	40	8	48	16	56	24	64	32
60	52	44	36	28	20	12	4	39	7	47	15	55	23	63	31
62	54	46	38	30	22	14	6	38	6	46	14	54	22	62	30
64	56	48	40	32	24	16	8	37	5	45	13	53	21	61	29
57	49	41	33	25	17	9	1	36	4	44	12	52	20	60	28
59	51	43	35	27	19	11	3	35	3	43	11	51	19	59	27
61	53	45	37	29	21	13	5	34	2	42	10	50	18	58	26
63	55	47	39	31	23	15	7	33	1	41	9	49	17	57	25

Figure 2.2: Tables describing the DES initial permutation  $IP$  and its inverse  $IP^{-1}$ .

The permuted plaintext now enters a loop, which iterates for 16 *Feistel* rounds

## Key Recovery Attacks on Blockciphers

S-box functions are applied in these rounds, and are the **heart** of the algorithm

S-boxes are functions taking 6 bits and returning 4 bits, and are basically a lookup-table

One of the design goals of DES is speed, so all functions are easily mapped into hardware

DES is impressively strong -- to this day, the best known attack is still exhaustive key search

NO blockcipher is perfectly secure

Best you can do is make exhaustive search computationally *prohibitive*

But how long does the exhaustive search take?

On average about  $2^{k-1}$  calculations of the blockcipher (worst case is of course  $2^k$ ) (directly related to the key size)

Consider DES: with 1.6 Gbit/sec and a plaintext length of 64-bit, we can perform  $2.5 * 10^7$  DES computations/sec

**Key Recovery Attacks on Blockciphers**

To carry out  $2^{55}$  computations (with  $k = 56$ ), we need  $2^{55}/(2.5 * 10^7) = 1.44 * 10^9$  seconds or about 45.7 years!

However, recently Electronic Frontier Foundation built a parallel machine for \$250,000 that finds the key in 56 hours

The main short-coming of DES was its *key-length* -- this prevented it from resisting exhaustive key searches. Proposed solutions Triple-DES + others