554 192 AD

MIPR No. 73-589 AMCMS CODE: 691000.22.63516 HDL Proj: E053E3

1997日の1997年に、1997年には1997年には1997年には1997年には1997年には1997年には1997年には1997年に

- たいようないまで、 とうしい しょうぶんどう ちょうしょう たいしょう たんしきめん 男子 大学のない 生活の たんきん ないかん ないかまた しょうしん 教育教育会社 大学 化学学 人名英格兰 しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう たんしょう たんしょう しょうちょう しょうせい しょうしょう しょうせい しょうせい しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう

Í

HDL-TR-1637

SIMULATION OF A SIMPLE LORENTZ PLASMA WITH A RANDOM DISTRIBUTION OF INDUCTIVELY LOADED DIPOLES

by

George Merkel

OLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE PLIPA 5/15/97

PL 96-1239

November 1973

This research was sponsored by the Defense Nuclear Agency under Subtask R99QAXEB088, Work Unit 04, "Source-Region Coupling."

U.S. ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND

HARRY DIAMOND LABORATORIES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20438

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

·

.

.

. م

ŕ

(1, 2) = (1, 2) + (

ABSTRACT

C

ſ

The feasibility of simulating a simple Lorentz plasma with an artificial dielectric constructed from a random distribution of inductively loaded dipoles is investigated. Both the differences and similarities between the macroscopic electromagnetic properties of the artificial dielectric and a Lorentz plasma are discussed.



.

. .

1 A

FOREWORD

The work reported herein was sponsored by the Defense Nuclear Agency under Subtask EB-088 and by the In-House Laboratory Independent Research Program. We wish to thank Mr. Edward Greisch for obtaining the experimental data discussed in this report. We would also like to thank Mr. John Dietz for his many helpful suggestions.

.

ı

)

CONTENTS

(

ABST	RACT	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3
FORE	WORD	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5
1.	INTR	ODUCTION	9
2.	THEO	RETICAL DISCUSSION	11
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5	Quasi-Static Approach Explicit Consideration of Dipole Capacitance Mutually Perpendicular Dipole Scatterers Coupling of Scatterers Deviations from the Clausius-Mossotti Equation Due to Randomness of Scatterers	11 16 20 24 31
3.	COMP	ARISON OF EXPERIMENT WITH THEORY	34
4.	SUMM	ARY AND CONCLUSIONS	42
REFE	RENCE	S	43
DIST FIGU	RIBUT	TION	45
1	•	Inductively loaded dipole	10
2	•	Lossless inductively loaded dipole	13
3	•	Two dipoles oriented in the θ and $-\theta$ directions	14
4	•	Two short dipole antennas	17
5	•	Two perpendicular inductively-loaded dipoles do not interact	22
_. 6	•	The vector P1,E11 is the dipole moment component of dipole 1 that is parallel to the incident electric field	23
7	•	The dipole moment of dipole 2 can be resolved into components parallel and perpendicular to the incident electric field	24
8	3.	The vector p _{1,E1} is the dipole moment component of dipole 1 that is perpendicular to the incident field	25
9).	Spherical cavity in dielectric	26

FIGURES

10.	Three-dimensional array of inductively-loaded dipoles enclosed in styrofoam spheres	29
11.	Values of relative permittivity calculated with equations (36) and (42) for a number of different inductive loads. The other parameters are discussed in the text	35
12a.	The real component of relative permittivity calculated with equations (69) and (42). The value used for L is .4 μ H and the value used for R is 0 Ω . The other parameters are the same as those employed to obtain figure 11	36
12b.	The real component of relative permittivity calculates with equations (69) and (42). The value used for L is .4 μ H and the value used for R is 50 Ω . The other parameters are the same as those employed to obtain figure 11	37
12c.	The real component of relative permittivity calculated with equations (69) and (42). The value used for L is .4 μ H and the value used for R is 100 Ω . The other parameters are the same as those employed to obtain figure 11	38
12d.	The real component of relative permittivity calculated with equations (69) and (42). The value used for L is .4 μ H and the value used for R is 200 Ω . The other parameters are the same as those employed to obtain figure 11	38
13.	Experimental setup used in determining the resonance frequency of the artificial dielectric. The sample consisted of 48 scatterers or "molecules." The V.S.W.R. or voltage standing-wave ratio was determined with a slotted line	39
14.	Experimental standing-wave ratio corresponding to the setup shown in figure 13	39
15.	The accuracy of the experimental points is limited by the granularity of the sample and the corresponding indefiniteness of the sample edges	41

. . .

8

1.

1. INTRODUCTION

The complete simulation of the electromagnetic pulse, EMP, associated with a nuclear burst involves a number of interrelated parameters. The most obvious parameters are the waveform and the magnitude of the EMP. However, if the system being subjected to the nuclear EMP is in a preionized region such as the ionosphere, we would also have to simulate the ionozation or dielectric constant of the medium surrounding the system such as a missile or satellite. In this work we investigate the feasibility of using an artificial dielectric consisting of a random distribution of inductively loaded short dipoles to simulate the macroscopic electromagnetic properties of a simple Lorentz plasma.¹,²

During the past twenty-five years a number of so-called artificial dielectrics consisting of regularly spaced rods, parallel plates, metal spheres, etc. have been devised to reproduce the essential macroscopic properties of a dielectric. The ordinary artificial dielectric consists of discrete metallic or dielectric particles or lattices of macroscopic size.³⁻⁷ These artificial dielectrics were first actually conceived as large-scale macroscopic models of microscopic crystal lattices. The practical motivation for the development of the first artificial dielectrics was the desire to obtain relatively inexpensive lightweight materials that could be used for microwave and radar lenses. Several of the artificial dielectrics proposed for microwave lenses have the macro-scopic electromagnetic properties of a plasma.²,⁴,⁸,⁹,¹⁰

A review of the literature reveals a number of papers on the plasma simulation properties of artificial dielectrics consisting of a rigid cubic lattice of three-dimensional grids.^{2,4,8} Experimental work, reported in the literature, has been done only on two-dimensional grid. lattice structures.^{2,8,10} That a periodic grid structure would produce band structure resonances analogous to Bragg scattering has been discussed from a theoretical viewpoint, but has not been experimentally investigated.^{11,12,13,14}

The rigid cubic grid lattice structure has the disadvantage of being somewhat unwieldy and difficult to support and fit around an object with curved surfaces. In general, the cubic lattice grid structure lends itself conveniently to simulation of flat, slab-like plasma sheaths.

In this paper we describe another approach to the simulation of a Lorentzian plasma. We propose a granular pellet-like artificial dielectric consisting of a random distribution of styrofoam spheres containing inductively loaded dipoles which can yield macroscopic electromagnetic constitutive relationships similar to those of a plasma.

A Lorentz plasma can be represented from the viewpoint of macroscopic electromagnetic theory, as a lossy dielectric with a complex dielectric constant given by¹,²

$$\varepsilon_{\rm p} = \varepsilon_0 \left[1 - \omega_{\rm p}^2 / (\nu^2 + \omega^2) + j \omega_{\rm p}^2 (\nu/\omega) / (\nu^2 + \omega^2) \right], \qquad (1)$$

where ε_0 is the dielectric constant of free space, ω is the frequency of the electromagnetic field, ω_p is the plasma frequency, and ν is the collision frequency between electrons and the gas molecules. We propose to simulate this macroscopic dielectric constant with an artificial dielectric consisting of a random distribution of inductively loaded dipoles encased in styrofoam pellets. A schematic drawing of such a dipole is shown in figure 1. The quantities 2%, L, and R are the length, inductance, and resistance of the loaded dipole respectively. As we will show, using simple quasi-static arguments, if the effective inductance ω L is much greater than the effective capacitance of the dipole, the permittivity of a random distribution of inductively loaded dipoles is

$$\varepsilon_{p} = \varepsilon_{0} \left(1 - \frac{\frac{4}{3} \frac{\ell^{2}}{\varepsilon_{0}} \frac{N}{L}}{\left(\frac{R}{L}\right)^{2} + \omega^{2}} + j \frac{\frac{4}{3} \frac{\ell^{2}}{\varepsilon_{0}} \frac{N}{L} \left(\frac{R}{L}\right) \frac{1}{\omega}}{\left(\frac{R}{L}\right)^{2} + \omega^{2}} \right)$$
(2)

where N is the number density of the dipole pellets. One can see by comparing equation (1) with equation (2), that we can simulate a plasma with plasma frequency $\omega_{\rm D}$ and collision frequency ν by setting

$$\frac{4}{3}\frac{\ell^2}{\varepsilon_0}\frac{N}{L} = \omega_p^2 \tag{3}$$

(4)

and

 $\frac{R}{L} = v.$



Figure 1. Inductively loaded dipole.

2. THEORETICAL DISCUSSION

2.1 Quasi-Static Approach

We will first approach the problem of our proposed artificial dielectric from a quasi-static viewpoint assuming that the inductive load of the dipole is much larger than the driving point capacitive impedance of a short dipole. In a subsequent section we will explicitly consider the dipole capacitance. First, we discuss the simulation of a tenuous plasma in which the collision frequency between free electrons and molecules, v, is negligible.

We want to construct an artificial dielectric from a pellet-like medium that has an index of refraction given by^2

$$n = (1 - \omega_p^2 / \omega^2)^{-1/2}$$
(5)

and an intrinsic impedance given by

$$\eta = \left(\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_p}\right)^{1/2} \tag{6}$$

where $\varepsilon_{\rm p}$ has a frequency dependence of the form¹,²

$$\varepsilon_{\rm p} = \varepsilon_0 \, \left(1 - \omega_{\rm p}^2 / \omega^2\right) \tag{7}$$

and where $\omega_{\rm p}$ is the plasma frequency.

In general the index of refraction of an artificial dielectric may be calculated in a manner analogous to that employed in calculating the index of refraction of a molecular medium. Assuming that the random obstacles are not too closely packed so that we do not have to resort to the Clausius-Mossotti relation, the index of refraction is given by⁵

$$n = \left[\epsilon_1 (1 + N\chi_e/\epsilon_0) \mu_1 (1 + N\chi_m/\mu_0) \right]^{1/2}$$
(8)

where

N = Number of scattering obstacles per unit volume,

- χ_{ρ} = Electric polarizability of a scattering obstacle,
- χ_m = Magnetic polarizability of a scattering obstacle,
- $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ (for styrofoam),
- $\mu_1 = 1$ (for styrofoam),

 ε_0 = permittivity of free space,

μ_0 = permeability of free space.

From equations (5) and (8) we see that in order to achieve our goal we need an artificial dielectric constructed from obstacles that have a negative electric polarizability, χ_e . If the artificial dielectric is to simulate a plasma over a spectrum of frequencies, the value of χ_e must also be inversely proportional to the frequency squared. We would also like the value of the magnetic polarizability of the embedded obstacles, χ_m , to be ϵ all or, if possible, zero.

A promising candidate for a scattering obstacle is an inductively loaded electric dipole. Consider the very idealized inductively loaded dipole schematically shown in figure 2. Let an electric field E be applied parallel to the line between two spheres separated by the distance 2ℓ. The field E then establishes a voltage V=2ℓE $e^{j\omega t}$ between the spheres. Assume that inductance between the two spheres is L, and also assume that L $\omega >> 1/\omega C$ where C is the capacitance between the two spheres. Since we are dealing with a time harmonic field assume that the charge accumulated on the dipole spheres is given by

$$q = Qe^{j\omega t}$$
 (9)

then

 $2lE e^{j\omega t} = L \frac{d^{2q}}{dt^{2}}$ $= LQ(-\omega^{2})e^{j\omega t}$

such that

 $Q = -2\ell E/L\omega^2$

or

$$q = -2\ell E e^{j\omega t} / L\omega^2.$$
 (10)

The dipole moment of the inductive dipole in figure 2 is then

$$p = 2lq = -4l^2 E e^{j\omega t} / L\omega^2$$

or

$$p = \chi_e E e^{j\omega t}$$
(11)



Figure 2. Lossless inductively loaded dipole. The lossless dipole corresponds to a collisionless plasma.

where

$$x_e = -4\ell^2/L\omega^2.$$

If we have a random distribution of dipoles, we must, naturally, consider the various orientations that the dipoles can assume. As shown in figure 3 let the E field be in the Z direction. For every random dipole direction θ , we have an equally probable direction $-\theta$. We can therefore pair all the dipoles oriented in the $+\theta$ direction with dipoles oriented in the $-\theta$ direction. The resultant effective dipole moment for a dipole is

$$p(\theta) = -2 \cos \theta (\ell 2\ell \cos \theta) E e^{j\omega t} / L\omega^2$$
.

The average value for a random distribution of directions, p_{av} , is then

$$P_{av} = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} - 4 \cos^{2}\theta (\ell^{2}E e^{j\omega t}/L\omega^{2}) 2\pi \sin \theta d\theta / 2 \int_{0}^{\pi/2} 2\pi \sin \theta d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} p = -4\ell^{2}E e^{j\omega t}/3L\omega^{2}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\chi_{eav} = -4\ell^2/3L\omega^2.$$
 (1.3)

.

13

(12)



Figure 3. Two dipoles oriented in the θ and $-\theta$ directions. The y components of the two dipoles cancel.

Now assuming that χ_{mav} = 0, the index of refraction of the artificial dielectric would be

$$\mathbf{n} = \left[1 \left(1 - 4N\ell^2 / 3\varepsilon_0 L\omega^2 \right)_1 \right]^{1/2}$$

and the intrinsic impedance would be

$$n = \left[\mu_0 / \varepsilon_0 (1 - 4N\ell^2 / 3\varepsilon_0 L \omega^2) \right]^{1/2}$$

In the case of tenuous plasma (ionized high-altitude air) we have an index of refraction given by

$$n = (1 - Ne^2 / \varepsilon_0 m \omega^2)^{1/2} = (1 - 3.18 \cdot 10^9 N / \omega^2)^{1/2}$$
(14)

where N is the electron density in cm^{-3} , m is the electron mass, and e is the electron charge. Therefore, if we want to simulate a tenuous plasma corresponding to electron density N, we can determine the length 2 ℓ and the inductance L of our inductively loaded dipoles with the relation

$$\frac{N\ell^2}{\varepsilon_0 L} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{Ne^2}{\varepsilon_0 m} = 2.39 \cdot 10^9 N \text{ sec}^{-2}$$

or

$$\frac{N\ell^2}{L} = 2.11 \cdot 10^{-2} N \text{ sec}^{-2} \text{ F/m}$$
(1)

where N is the density of artificial dielectric dipoles in meter⁻³, ℓ is in meters, and L is in henrys.

Next, we note that by introducing a resistance into our dipole we can simulate the imaginary part of the index of refraction that arises because of the collisions, v, per second between the plasma electrons and the atmospheric molecules. Figure 1 shows that the voltage between the dipole spheres is

$$2\& E e^{j\omega t} = L \frac{d^2q}{dt^2} + R \frac{dq}{dt}$$

where as before we assume L ω >> $1/C\omega$. Again, we can assume that the charge on the capacitance between the two spheres varies as

$$q = Q e^{j\omega t}$$
.

Then

$$2\& E e^{j\omega t} = LQ(-\omega^2)e^{j\omega t} + RQj\omega e^{j\omega t}$$

and

$$Q = -2\ell E/(L\omega^2 - jR\omega)$$

or

$$q = -2lE e^{j\omega t}/(L\omega^2 - jR\omega).$$

The dipole moment along the axis of the inductive dipole in figure 1 is then

$$p = 2lq = -4l^2 E e^{j\omega t}/(L\omega^2 - jR\omega).$$

We see by analogy with the purely inductive dipole

$$p_{av} = \frac{1}{3} p = -4\ell^2 E e^{j\omega t}/3(L\omega^2 - jR\omega).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\chi_{par} = -4l^2/3(L\omega^2 - jR\omega)$$
(16)

and

$$\varepsilon_{\rm p} = \varepsilon_0 \left[1 - 4\ell^2 N/3\varepsilon_0 L\omega^2 (1 - jR/\omega L) \right]$$
(17)

$$= \varepsilon_{0} \left(1 - \frac{\frac{4}{3} \frac{\ell^{2} N}{\varepsilon_{0} L}}{\left(\frac{R}{L}\right)^{2} + \omega^{2}} + j \frac{\frac{4}{3} \frac{\ell^{2} N}{\varepsilon_{0} L} \left(\frac{R}{L}\right) \frac{1}{\omega}}{\left(\frac{R}{L}\right)^{2} + \omega^{2}} \right).$$
(18)

Finally, we note that if equations (3) and (4) are satisfied, we have a one to one correspondence between equations (1) and (18).

2.2 Explicit Consideration of Dipole Capacitance

Up to this point we have assumed that the inductance used to load the short dipole dominated the behavior of the dipole. We will now examine this assumption in more detail. The arguments presented here are basically due to Harrington.¹⁵ Consider two short dipole antennas as shown in figure 4. Antenna 1 is excited by a current source I_1 ; antenna 2 is loaded at its center with an impedance Z_L . The currents and voltages of the two dipoles can be related by the standard impedances of a two-port network:

$$V_1 = Z_{11} I_1 - Z_{12} I_2$$

$$V_2 = Z_{21} I_1 - Z_{22} I_2.$$
(19)

The voltage V can be expressed in terms of Z_L and I_2 : $V_2 = Z_L I_2$. Then we can express the current passing through the load Z_L in terms of the current source driving antenna 1; the transfer impedance Z_{21} ; the driving point impedance of antenna Z, Z_{22} ; and the load impedance, Z_L :

$$I_2 = \frac{Z_{21} I_1}{(Z_L + Z_{22})}.$$
 (20)

We next make use of the reaction concept, first introduced by Rumsey.^{16,17} Rumsey defined reaction of field a on the source b, {a,b}, with the following integral:



۲





. . .



$$V_1 = Z_{11} I_1 - Z_{12} I_2$$

 $V_2 = Z_{21} I_1 - Z_{22} I_2$



$$\{a,b\} = \iiint (\underline{E}^{a} \cdot \underline{J}^{b} - \underline{H}^{a} \cdot \underline{M}^{b}) dv$$

where J^b is the electric current density of source b and \underline{M}^b is the magnetic current density of source b. In this notation the reciprocity theorem is:

 $\{a,b\} = \{b,a\}.$

For a current source Ib, we can obtain

$$\{a,b\} = \int \underline{E}^{a} \cdot \underline{I}^{b} d\ell = \underline{I}^{b} \int \underline{E}^{a} \cdot \underline{d\ell} = - \underline{V}^{a} \underline{I}^{b}.$$
(21)

The transfer impedance in equation (19) is defined by

• = •. •.

 $Z_{ij} = V_{ij}/I_{j}$

where V_{ij} is the voltage at terminal i produced by the current source at terminal j. In equation (21) we indicate that

$$\{j,i\} = -V_{ij}I_{i},$$

therefore, we obtain by eliminating $\mathtt{V}_{\texttt{ij}}$ and using the reciprocity theorem

$$Z_{ij} = -\frac{1}{I_i I_j} \iint E_i J_j ds.$$
 (22)

We next remove dipole number 1 to minus infinity so that the electric field, E_1 , at dipole number 2 is a plane wave. King¹⁸ shows that for a short dipole of length B, i.e. for (π/λ) B < 1/2, we can assume an induced current distribution on dipole 2 of the form:

$$I_2^1(z) = I_2(1 - \frac{2}{B}|z|).$$
 (23)

Equation (22) then yields:

18

$$Z_{12} = \frac{E_1 B}{2I_1} = Z_{21}$$
(24)

and from equation (20) we obtain:

$$I_2 = \frac{Z_{21} I_1}{(Z_1 + Z_{22})} = \frac{E_1 B}{2(Z_1 + Z_{22})}$$

Equation (23) then can be expressed as

$$I_{2}^{1}(z) = \frac{E_{1}B}{2(Z_{L} + Z_{22})} (1 - \frac{2}{B} |z|).$$
 (25)

The conservation of charge along dipole number 2 can be expressed as

$$\frac{d I_2^{\downarrow}(z)}{dz} + j \omega q(z) = 0, \qquad (26)$$

where q(z) is now the charge per unit length, and therefore the charge distribution along dipole 2 is:

$$q(z) = \frac{j}{\omega} \frac{d I_2^{\prime}(z)}{dz}.$$
 (27)

The dipole moment along antenna 2, p, is given by the following integral:

$$p = \int_{-\frac{B}{2}}^{\frac{B}{2}} q(z) z dz.$$
(28)

Expressions (25), (26), and (28) then yield:

$$p = \frac{-j E_1 B^2}{4\omega(j \omega L + Z_{22})}.$$
 (29)

If we allow χ to be the polarizability of the dipole we have:

$$p = E \cdot \chi \tag{30}$$

or

$$\chi = \frac{-j B^2}{4\omega (j\omega L + Z_{22})}.$$
 (31)

Equation (31) is essentially the same as the result we obtained with the simple quasi-static model, except for the presence of the driving point impedance Z_{22} in the denominator. (The factor 4 in the denominator occurs because we have not capacitively end-loaded the dipole as in figure 1.)

King¹⁹,²⁰ derives a value for the impedance Z_{22} of a short dipole:

$$Z_{22} = \frac{\eta}{2\pi} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)^2 B^2}{12} - j \frac{4\ln\left(\frac{B}{A}\right) - 6.78}{\left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)b} \right].$$
(32)

Here η is the impedance of free space, 120 $\pi\Omega,$ B is the length of the dipole, and A is the dipole radius.

Up to this point we have considered the incident electric field E, to be parallel to the short inductively-loaded dipole. Of course, the current and charge distribution along the dipole is a function of the orientation of the receiving antenna with respect to the surface of constant phase of the incident electric field. King¹⁹ indicates that for a short receiving antenna (with a triangular current distribution) the projection of the electric field onto the antenna, multiplied by the actual half length of the antenna, gives the emf of the equivalent circuit. That is equation (24) is modified to

$$Z_{12} = Z_{21} = \frac{E_1 B}{I_1 2} \cos \theta$$
(33)

where θ is the angle between the incident electric field vector and the antenna. For example, $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ when the antenna lies in the surface of constant phase. We can now use the same orientation arguments that we used in the development of our quasi-static model which

ignored the self impedance Z_{22} . If we wish to calculate the polarization in the direction of the incident electric field when the E_1 vector is not parallel to the dipole, we must introduce another $\cos \theta$ and integrate over all directions. Therefore, as before

$$p_{av} = \frac{1}{3}p$$

and

$$P_{av} = \chi_{av}^{E}$$

or

$$\chi_{av} = \frac{-j B^2}{12\omega(j\omega L + Z_{22})}.$$
 (34)

If we set $Z_{22} = 0$, $B = 2\ell$, and end-load the dipole so that the current distribution is uniform and not triangular, we obtain the same result as given by equation (13).¹⁸

The appearance of Z_{22} in the denominator of expression (34) is inconsistent with our desire to model a simple Lorentzian plasma. Specifically, when the frequency is such that

$$\omega L = \left[\frac{4\ln\left(\frac{B}{A}\right) - 6.78}{\left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right) B}\right] \left(\frac{\eta}{2\pi}\right)$$
(35)

the artificial dielectric will pass through a resonance. We can only use the inductively-loaded dipoles to simulate a plasma if $\omega L >> Z_{22}$. We will discuss the effect of Z_{22} in a subsequent section. An insight into the limitations imposed by the dipole self-impedance can be obtained by calculating χ_{av} for some realistic frequencies and dipole parameters.

2.3 <u>Mutually Perpendicular Dipole Scatterers</u>

Our arguments presented so far assumed that the distance between the inductively-loaded dipoles is great enough so that their interaction can be neglected. The use of equation (8) is based on the assumption of negligible interaction between scattering objects. A way of increasing the value of N in (8) by a factor of 3 and still not violate the assumption of negligible interaction of neighboring scatterers is to construct each scatterer out of three mutually-perpendicular inductively-loaded dipoles. By using essentially quasi-static arguments it is easy to see that three mutually-perpendicular dipoles with a common center point do not interact.

Consider just two perpendicular dipoles as shown in figure 5. By symmetry the current distribution along the two arms of dipole 1 is symmetrical and equal in magnitude. Therefore, the charge distribution along the opposite arms is equal in magnitude but of opposite sign. Using a static argument the electric field produced by the charge distribution along dipole 1 is seen to be perpendicular to the direction of dipole 2. The dipoles therefore do not interact. Similar reasoning applies to a dipole that is mutually perpendicular to dipoles 1 and 2 and centered at the intersection of dipoles 1 and 2. These quasi-static arguments are consistent with the more rigorous arguments presented for skew-angled dipoles by Richmond.²¹ If we substitute three mutuallyperpendicular dipoles for the single randomly-distributed dipoles we simply multiply the average value of the polarizability given in equation (34) by 3 and obtain

$$\chi_{av3} = \frac{-j B^2}{4\omega (j\omega L + Z_{22})}.$$
 (36)

By constructing our scatterers out of three mutually-perpendicular inductively-loaded dipoles we accomplish more than simply increasing the number of scatterers by three: the polarizability of the scattering centers is made to be independent of scatterer orientation. To be specific, the scatterer, consisting of three identical, short, mutuallyperpendicular dipoles centered at the origin with a dipole along each axis, can be described with the following simple dyadic:

 $\underline{\chi} = \chi (\hat{\mathbf{i}} \, \hat{\mathbf{i}} + \hat{\mathbf{j}} \, \hat{\mathbf{j}} + \hat{\mathbf{k}} \, \hat{\mathbf{k}}) = \chi \, \underline{\mathbf{I}}.$

The dipole moment induced by an arbitrary field \breve{E} is then

 $\vec{p} = \underline{X} \cdot \vec{E}$ $= \underline{X} \cdot E (\hat{i} \sin \theta \cos \Phi + \hat{j} \sin \theta \sin \Phi + \hat{k} \cos \theta) \qquad (37)$ $= X E (\hat{i} \sin \theta \cos \Phi + \hat{j} \sin \theta \sin \Phi + \hat{k} \cos \theta)$

but $(\sin \theta \cos \phi)^2 + (\sin \theta \sin \phi)^2 + \cos^2 \theta = 1$. Therefore, the magnitude of the dipole moment is α E and its direction is in the direction of the electric field regardless of the orientation of the scatterer.

In order to gain insight into the foregoing result we can consider a relatively simple situation in more detail. Figure 6 illustrates two perpendicular inductively-loaded dipoles in the plane of the page. Let the \vec{E} vector of the incident plane wave also be in the plane of the page. The third dipole is perpendicular to the plane of the page, and therefore is perpendicular to the \vec{E} vector and does not interact with the E field. As shown in the figure, the Poynting vector \vec{S} of the incident plane wave is also in the plane of the page. The voltage developed across the load of dipole number 1 is then proportional to E cos θ and the separation of



Figure 5. Two perpendicular inductively-loaded dipoles do not interact.

charge on dipole number 1 in the direction of the incident E vector is proportional to $\cos^2\theta$, that is $p_{1,E}|| = K \cos^2\theta$. A corresponding argument (see fig. 7) leads to the conclusion that the dipole moment of dipole number 2 in the direction of the incident field \vec{E} is given by $p_{2,E}|| = K \sin^2\theta$. The total dipole moment in the direction of the vector \vec{E} of dipoles 1 and 2 is, therefore, given by

$$P_{E}|| = P_{1,E}|| + P_{2,E}|| = K \cos^{2}\theta + K \sin^{2}\theta$$

$$= K = \frac{-j B^{2}E}{4\omega(j\omega L + Z_{22})}.$$
(38)

Let us now consider the polarization perpendicular to the \vec{E} vector. As shown in figure 8 the voltage developed across the load on dipole 1 is proportional to E cos θ and the dipole moment perpendicular to the E field or parallel to the S vector is $p_{1,Ei} = -K \cos \theta \sin \theta$. As shown in figure 7, since the voltage across the load on dipole 2 is proportional to E sin θ , the dipole moment component of dipole two perpendicular to the incident field is



Figure 6. The vector p_{1,E11} is the dipole moment component of dipole 1 that is parallel to the incident electric field.

 $P_{2,E\perp} = K \sin \theta \cos \theta.$

So for the total polarization perpendicular to \vec{E} we have

$$p_{\perp E} = p_{1,E\perp} + p_{2,E\perp}$$

= K (-sin θ cos θ + sin θ cos θ) (39)
= 0.



Figure 7. The dipole moment of dipole 2 can be resolved into components parallel and perpendicular to the incident electric field.

In summary, we see that the rather tedious arguments leading to equations (38) and (39) are consistent with the result of equation (37). Of course, as the length of the dipoles is increased, the simple trigonometric dependence for the current or charge distribution does not hold, and the polarization is not independent of the direction of the incident electric field.

2.4 Coupling of Scatterers

The dipole interaction of the scattering objects, each consisting of three mutually-perpendicular and centered, inductively-loaded dipoles, will be considered next. The starting point for the discussion of the coupling of scattering objects in both real and artificial dielectrics is the formula of Lorentz for the field within a hollow spherical cavity (centered at a particular molecule or scatterer) cut out of the dielectric



Figure 8. The vector $p_{1,E\perp}$ is the dipole moment component of dipole 1 that is perpendicular to the incident field.

(fig. 9). The displacement vector D normal to the dielectric surface between the sphere and the cavity must be continuous; therefore, the magnitude of P normal to the cavity surface equals the negative of the induced surface charge density σ_i . We may write $\sigma_i = -|\vec{P}| \cos \theta$. The field inside the cavity due to σ_i can be calculated at the center of the cavity with Coulomb's law:

$$E_{s} = \int_{s} \frac{\sigma_{i} \, ds \, \cos \theta}{4\pi \, \epsilon_{0} \, R^{2}}$$



$$E_{S} = \int \frac{\sigma_{i} d_{S}}{4\pi\epsilon_{o} R^{2}} \cos\theta$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_{o} R^{2}} \cos\theta 2\pi R^{2} \sin\theta d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{3\epsilon_{o}}$$





Therefore, the local field at the center of the cavity at a particular molecule or scatterer is

$$\vec{E}_{1oc} = \vec{E} + \frac{1}{3\varepsilon_0}\vec{P} + \vec{E}_2.$$

Here E is the ordinary macroscopic field, P is the polarization of the dielectric, and E_2 is the mean field due to the matter within the sphere itself (exclusive of the central scatterer). The magnitude of E_2 will depend on the distribution of scatterers within the spherical cavity. Lorentz showed that if the molecules are arranged in a cubical array, E_2 will be zero. Lorentz also indicated that to a certain degree of approximation $E_2 = 0$ for such isotropic bodies as glass, fluids, and gases. We will discuss this approximation in a later section.

Assuming that $E_2 = 0$, we obtain the following value for the local field at a scatterer:

 $\vec{E}_{10c} = \vec{E} + \vec{P}/3\varepsilon_0$ (40)

The dipole moment of a scatterer is then $\vec{p} = \chi_{av3} \vec{E}_{loc}$, but,

$$\vec{P} = \vec{p} N = \chi_{av3} N \vec{E}_{loc}$$

where N is the number density of scatters per unit volume. According to the usual notation:

$$\varepsilon \stackrel{\rightarrow}{E} = \varepsilon_0 \stackrel{\rightarrow}{E} + \stackrel{\rightarrow}{P}.$$

Therefore

 $\vec{P} = \vec{E} (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0)$

and

$$\vec{P} = \chi_{av3} N \vec{E}_{1oc} = \chi_{av3} N (\vec{E} + \vec{P}/3\varepsilon_0)$$

$$= \chi_{av3} N (\vec{E} + \frac{\vec{E}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_0)}{3\varepsilon_0}).$$
(41)

This is the Clausius-Mossotti relation. Equation (41) can be manipulated to yield:

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \frac{1 + 2 N \chi_{av3}/3\varepsilon_0}{1 - N \chi_{av3}/3\varepsilon_0}.$$
 (42)

The above expression is only valid when the local electric field $E_{\rm loc}$ at a scattering obstacle can be expressed as

$$\vec{E}_{loc} = \vec{E} + \vec{P}/(3\epsilon_0)$$
(43)

where E is the space average field inside the artificial dielectric.

The local fields for simple tetragonal and hexagonal lattices have also been calculated by a number of workers. Collin⁷,²² considers a three-dimensional array of y-directed unit dipoles at x = na, y = mb, and z = sc. He excludes the dipole at x = y = z = 0 and calculates the local field at x = y = z = 0. The potential due to a unit dipole at x_0 , y_0 , z_0 is

$$\phi = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{j}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{r}}}{4\pi \, \varepsilon_0 \, \mathbf{r}^3} \tag{44}$$

where $r = \left[(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 + (Z - Z_0)^2 \right]^{1/2}$. For the array of y-directed dipoles we then have (fig. 10)

$$\phi = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{y - mb}{\left[(x-na)^2 + (y-mb)^2 + (Z-sc)^2\right]^{3/2}}$$
(45)

where the prime indicates the omission of the n = m = s = 0 term. The effective polarizing field at x = 0, y = 0, z = 0 will then be $E + E_i$, where E is the external applied field and where

$$E_{i} = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} | \\ x = y = z = 0$$



CUBIC LATTICE a = b = cTETRAGONAL LATTICE $a = c \neq b$ HEXAGONAL LATTICE $a \neq b \neq c$

Figure 10. Three-dimensional array of inductively-loaded dipoles enclosed in styrofoam spheres.

z

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2(mb)^2 - (na)^2 - (sc)^2}{\left[(mb)^2 + (na)^2 + (sc)^2\right]^{5/2}}.$$
 (46)

Note that the above expression is not only evaluated inside the Clausius-Mossotti sphere, but over all space. We therefore do not have to consider a contribution from the inside surface of the sphere.

Collin evaluates expression (46) and obtains

1

$$E_{i} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}} \left\{ \frac{1.201}{\pi b^{3}} - \frac{8\pi}{b^{3}} \left[K_{0} \left(\frac{2\pi c}{b} \right) + K_{0} \left(\frac{2\pi a}{b} \right) \right] \right\}$$
(47)

-)

where K_0 is the so-called modified Bessel function of order zero. Equation (47) is for unit dipoles. In our case of inductively-loaded dipoles we would have

$$E_{i} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}} \left\{ \frac{1.201}{\pi b^{3}} - \frac{8\pi}{b^{3}} \left[K_{0} \left(\frac{2\pi c}{b} \right) + K_{0} \left(\frac{2\pi a}{b} \right) \right] \right\} \chi_{av3}$$
(48)

or

$$E_{loc} = E + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \left\{ \frac{1.201}{\pi b^3} - \frac{8\pi}{b^3} \left[K_0 \left(\frac{2\pi c}{b} \right) + K_0 \left(\frac{2\pi a}{b} \right) \right] \right\} \left(\frac{1}{4\omega (j\omega L + Z_{22})} \right).$$
(49)

The above equation corresponds to a cubic lattice if a = b = c, a tetragonal lattice if only two of the quantities are equal, and a hexagonal lattice if none of the quantities, a, b, or c are equal. In the case of the cubic lattice we find that

$$E_{loc} = E + \frac{1.06 N}{\pi \epsilon_0} \chi_{av3}$$
(50)

and, therefore

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \frac{1 + \frac{2.08}{\pi} \frac{N}{\varepsilon_0} \chi_{av3}}{1 - \frac{1.06}{\pi} \frac{N}{\varepsilon_0} \chi_{av3}}$$
(51)

which is to be compared with equation (42).

2.5 <u>Deviations from the Clausius-Mossotti Equation Due to Randomness</u> of Scatterers

J.K. Kirkwood^{23,24} has calculated the deviations that one might expect from equation (40) in the case of a random distribution of molecules consisting of hard spheres. Specifically, Kirkwood considered a molecular interaction potential of the form

$$W(\mathbf{r}) = \infty \qquad 0 \leq \mathbf{r} \leq \mathbf{a}$$

$$= 0 \qquad \mathbf{r} > \mathbf{a}$$
(52)

where a is the diameter of a molecule.

Kirkwood's model is not wholly applicable to an artificial dielectric formed by dumping spherical scatterers into a container because the scatterers do not necessarily assume a completely random distribution. For example, depending, among other factors, on how smooth they are, the spheres can arrange themselves into various specific lattices or combinations of lattices. The different lattices can even correspond to different dipole number densities.

It is interesting to note that statistical mechanical averaging based on the molecular interaction equation (52) yields a value of the permittivity that is not a function of the thermodynamic temperature T. This follows because the value of the exponential term $\exp[-W(r)/kT]$ is either 0 or 1. In a gas or fluid the averaging can be over time; in our static collection of scattering dipoles the averaging would have to be over an ensemble of different containers each filled with a aggregation of spherical dipole scatterers. Kirkwood's approach can be summarized as follows: As in the lattice case, the local field is obtained by summing over the potentials due to individual dipoles. We can say that the effective polarizing field E_{loci} at scatterer i will be given by $E + E_i$, where E is the external applied field and E_i is given by the sum over N individual dipoles:

$$\vec{E}_{i} = -\sum_{\substack{k=1\\ \neq i}}^{N} \underline{T}_{ik} \cdot \vec{p}_{k}$$
(53)

where the dipole-interaction dyadic is given by

$$\underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}}_{\underline{\mathbf{I}}\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}_{i\mathbf{k}}^3} \left[\underline{\underline{\mathbf{I}}} - 3 \frac{\dot{\vec{\mathbf{r}}}_{i\mathbf{k}} \cdot \dot{\vec{\mathbf{r}}}_{i\mathbf{k}}}{\mathbf{r}_{i\mathbf{k}}^2} \right]$$
(54)

The polarization of an individual scatterer is given by

$$\vec{p}_{i} = \varepsilon_{0} \underline{\alpha} \cdot \vec{E}_{loci} = \varepsilon_{0} \underline{\alpha} \cdot (\vec{E} + \vec{E}_{i})$$

$$= \varepsilon_{0} \alpha \vec{E} - \varepsilon_{0} \alpha \Sigma \underline{T}_{ik} \cdot \vec{p}_{k}$$
(55)

We therefore have N simultaneous equations

$$\vec{p}_i + \alpha \varepsilon_0 \Sigma T_{i} \cdot \vec{p}_k = \alpha \varepsilon_0 \vec{E} \quad i = 1, \dots N$$

that would have to be solved in order to obtain E_{loci} for each of the individual scatterers in the distribution of N scatterers in volume V. We can average the N equations and obtain

$$\vec{\vec{p}} + \alpha \varepsilon_0 \Sigma \underline{\underline{T}}_{ik} \cdot \vec{\vec{p}}_k = \alpha \varepsilon_0 \vec{E}$$
(56)

Kirkwood^{23,24} introduced the following fluctuation term

$$\vec{n} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq k}}^{N} \overline{(\underline{T}_{ik} \cdot \vec{p}_{k} - \underline{T}_{ik} \cdot \vec{p}_{k})}$$
(57)

and obtained

$$\vec{p} + \alpha \varepsilon_0 \Sigma T_{ik} \cdot \vec{p} + \vec{n} \alpha \varepsilon_0 = \alpha \varepsilon_0 \vec{E}$$
(58)

If we can assume that

$$\underline{\underline{\underline{T}}}_{12} \cdot \underline{\underline{p}}_{1} = \underline{\underline{\underline{T}}}_{12} \cdot \underline{\underline{p}}_{1}$$
(59)

the fluctuation \vec{n} is equal to zero and

$$\vec{p} + \alpha \varepsilon_0 \vec{p} \cdot \Sigma \underline{\underline{T}}_{ik} = \alpha \varepsilon_0 \vec{E}.$$
(60)

Converting to the equivalent scalar equation we obtain:

$$\overline{p} = \frac{\alpha \varepsilon_0 E}{1 + \alpha \varepsilon_0 (\Sigma \ \overline{T}_{ik})}, \qquad (61)$$

and

$$D = \varepsilon E = \varepsilon_0 E + P \tag{62}$$

$$= \varepsilon_{0}E + \frac{N}{v}\overline{p}$$

$$= \varepsilon_{0}E + \frac{\varepsilon_{0}\frac{N}{v}\alpha E}{1 + \alpha\varepsilon_{0}(\Sigma T_{ik})}.$$
(63)

The dipole interaction term can be averaged over the volume v to yield:

$$\Sigma \overline{T}_{ik} = -\frac{1}{3} \frac{N}{v}, \qquad (64)$$

also

$$\alpha = \frac{\chi_{av3}}{\varepsilon_0}$$
(65)

and therefore

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \left\{ 1 + \frac{\frac{N}{v} \alpha}{1 - 1/3 \frac{N}{v} \alpha} \right\}$$
(66)

or

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \frac{1 + \frac{2}{3} \frac{N}{v} \frac{X_{av3}}{\varepsilon_0}}{1 - \frac{1}{3} \frac{N}{v} \frac{X_{av3}}{\varepsilon_0}}.$$
(67)

Equation (67) is to be compared with equations (51) and (42). After considerable mathematical manipulation and a number of approximations, Kirkwood managed to evaluate equation (57) for a substance consisting of a random distribution of hard noninteracting spheres of diameter a:

$$n \simeq \left(\frac{1}{9b} - \frac{5}{48v}\right) \frac{N^2 \alpha^2 D}{v}$$
(68)

where

$$b = \frac{2\pi N a^3}{3} = 4N \left(\frac{\pi a^3}{6}\right)$$

= 4 (volume of spherical scatterers).

To obtain a rough estimate of the validity of using the Clausius-Mossotti equation for a random distribution of styrofoam ball dipole scatterers, we can compare the value of n given by equation (68) with the value of 23

$$1 - \alpha \Sigma T_{ik} = 1 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{N\alpha}{v}$$

For the experimental situation discussed in Section 2:

$$\frac{n}{1 - \alpha \Sigma T_{ik}} = .22$$

and we might expect the Clausius-Mossotti equation to be a reasonable first approximation. Actually, as we have pointed out, Kirkwood's statistical model is not necessarily applicable to our aggregation of dipole scatterers because the dipole scatterers in the artificial dielectric do not necessarily assume a random distribution. The validity of the Clausius-Mossotti equation as applied to our artificial dielectric should really be tested empirically.

3. COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENT WITH THEORY

In order to orient ourselves in regard to the parameters such as the dipole load impedance and Z_{22} we will use equations (36) and (42) to design an artificial dielectric with some actual experiments in mind. The frequency range considered will be dictated by the band pass characteristics of the type 2300 waveguide. The type 2300 waveguide, the largest standard waveguide, has internal dimensions of 23.0 x 11.5 in., a lower cutoff frequency of 2.56 x 10^8 H, and a recommended upper frequency limit of 4.9 x 10^8 H. The frequencies in this range are much higher than the values usually quoted for nuclear EMP pulses, but we will consider designing an artificial dielectric to operate at these high frequencies because of the convenience of using waveguide techniques in measuring the properties of an artificial dielectric.

Let us consider the following series of parameters as an orientation exercise. We begin with an artificial dielectric constructed out of scatterers consisting of three mutually-perpendicular inductively-loaded 7-in. long dipoles loaded with a number of different values of inductance. Let the dipole stems have a radius of 0.1 cm. We choose the density of scatterers to be 264 per cubic meter. This density corresponds to an aggregation of 48 scatterers distributed throughout a rectangular container with dimensions 23 x 11.5 x 41.5 in. Equations (36) and (42) can be employed to calculate the dielectric constant of the artificial dielectric as a function of frequency in the band-pass region of the type 2300 waveguide. Figure 11 shows values of the real part of the permittivity calculated with equations (36) and (42) for a frequency range between 300 and 500 MH. The four curves correspond to dipole load inductances of 0.4, 0.6, 0.9, and 1.4 μ H. The magnitude of the radiation resistance of the dipoles is very small compared to the values of the magnitude of the inductive loads; therefore, the imaginary components of the permittivity are very small.



Figure 11. Values of relative permittivity calculated with equations (36) and (42) for a number of different inductive loads. The other parameters are discussed in the text.

If we want to model a Lorentz plasma in which the electrons have a collision frequency $v \neq 0$, the load impedance of our dipoles must have a resistive component. Equation (36) then becomes:

$$x_{av3} = \frac{-j B^2}{4\omega(j\omega L + R + Z_{22})}$$
(69)

where R is the value of the resistance. In figures 12a to 12d we present values of the real part of the permittivity calculated with equations (69) and (42) with L = 0.4 μ H. The series of four figures shows the effect of different values of the resistive component R on the magnitude of the resonance occurring when j ω L = Z₂₂. Figure 12a, corresponding to a purely inductive load, emphasizes the resonance behavior of the artificial dielectric produced when the load inductance L is in resonance with the capacitive impedance of the dipole. As can be expected the Q of the resonance is reduced by including a resistance in the dipole load impedance.



Figure 12a. The real component of relative permittivity calculated with equations (69) and (42). The value used for L is .4 μ H and the value used for R is 0 Ω . The other parameters are the same as those employed to obtain figure 11.





Figure 12d. The real component of relative permittivity calculated with equations (69) and (42). The value used for L is .4 μ H and the value used for R is 200 Ω . The other parameters are the same as those employed to obtain figure 11.

In our first experiment we searched for the resonance predicted by equation (36) and shown in figure 12a. The experimental technique²⁵ was straightforward and is depicted in figure 13. The ratio of the incident electric field $E_{\rm I}$ to the reflected electric field $E_{\rm R}$ was obtained by measuring the standing wave ratio with a slotted line. The artificial dielectric sample shown in figure 13 consists of an aggregation of 48 of our 7 in. styrofoam balls each containing 3 mutually-perpendicular dipoles. The balls were enclosed in a rectangular box measuring 23 x 11.5 x 41.5 in. The results of the experiment are shown in figure 14. It is comforting to note that there is a large reflection at approximately the frequency of the resonance shown in figure 12a.

In a second series of experiments we measured the permittivity of our artificial dielectric utilizing the well-known shorted waveguide technique described in great detail by von Hippel²⁶. Very briefly, the technique consists of measuring the null point of a standing wave in a shorted waveguide. A sample of length ℓ is then inserted into the shorted waveguide and the shift in the standing wave null is noted. The index of refraction of the dielectric sample of length ℓ can then be calculated in terms of ℓ and the shift in the standing wave null point.



Figure 13. Experimental setup used in determining the resonance frequency of the artificial dielectric. The sample consisted of 48 scatterers or "molecules." The V.S.W.R. or voltage standing-wave ratio was determined with a slotted line.



Figure 14. Experimental standing-wave ratio corresponding to the setup shown in figure 13.

Our experimental values of the index of refraction and some calculated values are shown in figure 15. The measurements were of two different artificial dielectric sample sizes; one sample consisted of an aggregation of 24 scatterers measuring 23 x 11.5 x 20.75 in. in volume. The length of the sample was, $\ell = 20.75$ in. The other sample consisted of an aggregation of 48 scatterers in a rectangular volume measuring 13 x 11.5 x 41.5 in. In this case, $\ell = 41.5$ in.

The difference between the theoretical index of refraction curve corresponding to $L = 0.4 \ \mu H$ and R = 0 and the measured index of refraction is about 30 percent. A possible source of the disparity between experiment and theory is that we used the dimension of the cardboard box that enclosed the scatterers to obtain l. The effective length of the very granular artificial dielectric sample is most probably not the size of the box containing the scatterers. The boundary of our artificial dielectric is somewhat nebulous and needs more study.

Further experimental measurements of the electromagnetic characteristics of our inductively-loaded dipoles are now under consideration. These new measurements would involve the irradiation of a monopole that is immersed in an expanse of artificial dielectric medium. The new experimental approach would overcome the limitations imposed by the relatively small size and narrow frequency band pass of a waveguide. The measurements could also be carried out at lower frequencies which are closer to the actual nuclear EMP spectrum. As the frequency is lowered, the size of the scatterer compared to the wavelength would decrease. That is to say, the artificial dielectric would have less granularity and the boundary of the artificial dielectric would become more well defined.

If we construct a cylindrical monopole of height H it would have a resonance or maximum skin current at frequency f given by

$$f = \frac{4H}{\pi c}.$$

If the monopole were then submerged into an artificial dielectric with an index of refraction n, the resonance of the monopole would change to

$$f = \frac{4H}{\pi c} n.$$

The influence of the artificial dielectric of the resonance characteristics and skin currents of other shapes such as a sphere could also be studied.



Figure 15. The accuracy of the experimental points is limited by the granularity of the sample and the corresponding indefiniteness of the sample edges.

1.1

4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We first introduced a simple quasi-static model of the artificial dielectric consisting of inductively-loaded dipoles which (1) ignored the dipole capacitance, and (2) assumed that the dipole-dipole interaction could be neglected. These two simplifying assumptions yielded an expression for the permittivity of the artificial dielectric that behaved in a manner surprisingly analogous to the permittivity of a simple Lorentzian plasma. It was noted that the granularity of fluctuations of the artificial dielectric could be decreased by constructing the dipole scatterers out of three mutually-perpendicular scatterers.

Then the effect of the capacitance of the inductively-loaded dipole scatterers was examined and limits set on the assumption that the inductance dominated the behavior of the inductively-loaded dipole. Next, the effect of dipole-dipole interactions on the artificial dielectric was considered. A relatively rigorous expression for a cubic, tetragonal or hexagonal lattice was obtained. An estimate of the effect of a random distribution of scatterers on the value of the permittivity predicted by equation (42) was also obtained.

It is interesting that the more exact expressions for the dielectric constant of the artificial dielectric, which considered both the effect of the capacitive dipole impedance and the dipole-dipole interaction, did not model the behavior of a Lorentzian plasma as well as the original simplified approach. Nevertheless, the artificial dielectric did have an index of refraction less than one over a relatively broad spectrum. We are now looking into the possibility of improving correspondence between the frequency dependence of our artificial dielectric and a Lorentzian plasma. The approach being investigated is based on the substitution of a more complicated one-terminal pair in place of the simple inductive dipole load used in our present scatterers. By synthesizing a suitable one-terminal pair out of a number of lumped impedances we hope to improve the correspondence between the frequency dependence of the artificial dielectric and a Lorentz plasma.

The experimental examination of the theoretical expressions for the dielectric constant of our artificial dielectric was constrained by the dimensions and frequency characteristics of available waveguide equipment. The predictions of equations (36) and (42) for the dielectric constant of the artificial dielectric were found to be in fair agreement with experimental results.

REFERENCES

- M.A. Heald and C.B. Wharton, <u>Plasma Diagnostics with Microwave</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1965, p. 6.
- W. Rotman, "Plasma Simulation by Artificial Dielectric and Parallelplate Media," IRE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-13, p. 587, July 1965.
- W.E. Koch, "Metallic Delay Lenses," Bell System Technical Journal, Vol. 27, pp. 58-82, January 1948.
- 4. J. Brown, "Microwave Lenses," Methuen and Co., Ltd., London, 1953.
- H. Jasik, <u>Antenna Engineering</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1963, Section 14.6. Schelkunoff and Friis, <u>Antennas Theory and Practice</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1952, p.577.
- 6. R.W. Corkum, "Isotropic Artificial Dielectrics," Proc. IRE, Vol. 40, pp. 574-587, May 1952.
- M.M.Z. El-Kharadly and W. Jackson, "The Properties of Artificial Dielectrics Comprising Arrays of Conducting Particles," Proc. IEE (London), Vol. 100, Part III, pp. 199-212, July, 1953.
- K.E. Golden, "Simulation of a Thin Plasma Sheath by a Plane of Wires," IRE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-13, p. 587, July 1965.
- 9. J. Brown, "Artificial Dielectrics Having Refractive Indices Less than Unity," Proc. IEE, Monograph No. 62R, Vol. 100, p. 51.
- M.M.Z. El-Kharadly, "Some Experiments on Artificial Dielectrics at Centimetre Wavelengths," Proc. IEE, Paper No. 1700, Vol. 102B, p. 22, January 1955.
- 11. L. Brillouin, <u>Wave Propagation in Periodic Structures</u>, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1946.
- 12. J.C. Slater, <u>Microwave Electronics</u>, D. Van Nostrand Co., New York, 1950.
- H.S. Bennett, "The Electromagnetic Transmission Characteristics of Two Dimensional Lattice Medium," J. Appl. Phys., Vol. 24, pp. 785-810, June 1953.
- 14. P.J. Crepeau and P.R. McIsaac, "Consequences of Symmetry in Periodic Structures," Proc. IEE, January 1964, p. 33.

- R. F. Harrington, "Small Resonant Scatterers and Their Use for Field Measurements," IRE Transactions of Microwave Theory and Techniques, May 1962, pp. 165-174.
- 16. V.H. Ramsey, "The Reaction Concept in Electromagnetic Theory," Phys. Rev. Ser. 2, 94, No. 6, pp. 1483-1491, June 15, 1954.
- 17. R.F. Harrington, <u>Time-Harmonic Electromagnetic Fields</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1961, Section 7-9.
- R.W.P. King, <u>The Theory of Linear Antennas</u>, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1956, p. 184.
- 19. Ibid., p. 470.
- 20. R.W.P. King, R.B. Mack, and S.S. Sandler, <u>Arrays of Cylindrical</u> <u>Dipoles</u>, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass., p. 359, Formula 8.87, 887.
- J.H. Richmond, "Coupled Linear Antennas with Skew Orientation," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-18, No. 5, September 1970, pp. 694-696.
- R.E. Collin, <u>Field Theory of Guided Waves</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1960, p. 517.
- 23. J.G. Kirkwood, "On the Theory of Dielectric Polarization," J. Chem. Phys., Vol. 6, p. 592, September 1936.
- 24. J.G. Kirkwood, "The Local Field in Dielectrics," Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, Vol. XL, Article 5, p. 315.
- E.L. Ginston, <u>Microwave Measurements</u>, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1959, p. 235.
- 26. A.R. von Hippel, Editor, <u>Dielectric Materials and Applications</u>, The M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1954, Section 2, p. 63, by William B. Westphal.



DISTRIBUTION

DIRECTOR DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY ARCHITECT DUILDING 1400 MILSON BLVD ARLINGTUN, VIRGINIA 22209 ATIN DIR, TECH INFD, F.A. KDETHER CCMMANDER IN CHIEF CONTINENTAL AIR DEFENSE COMMAND ENT AFA CCLORAJD SVRINGS, COLORADD 80912 ATIN DCS/C+E (CESA), DR. JK. STERRETT ATIN CPPA DR W R MATOUSH ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE TELECOMPUNICATIONS WASHINGTON DC 20103 ATTN OPERATIONS + ENGINEERING DEFENSE CIVIL PREPAREDNESS AGENCY WASHINGTON DC 20301 Aitin Tsiaed) rm 1C 535 Aitin Reissi, H & Rouerick Aitin G Vandenberghe RM 1E 542 DIRECTOR DEFENSE COMMUNICATIONS AGENCY WACHINGION, D.C. 20305 ATTM: CDDE 350, J A KACEK ATT.. CDDE 340, W.H. DIX ATTN CDDE 340, W.H. DIX ATTN CDLE 8210, WEN SYS ANAL DIV ATTN CDLE 820.4 ATTN CLUE 950 ATTN CLUE 950 NATIONAL COMMUNICATION SYSTEM OFFICE OF THE HANAGER WASHINGTUN DC 20305 ATTN NCS-DE DENNIS BODSON DEFENSE COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING OFFICE 1850 MIEMLE AVENUE Reston Virginia 22070 ATTN H620 DEFENSE DUCUMENTATION CENTER CAMERCY STATION, BUILDING 5 ALEXANIZIA, VIRGINIA 22314 ATTN DDC-TCA 12 COPIES DIRECTUP DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY WASHINGTONN, D.C. 20301 ATTN DI-7R, PHYS VUL DIV, E O*FARRELL DIRECTOR DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY MASHINGTON, D.C. 20305 ATTN STRA, RADIATION DIRECTORATE ATTN RAFV, ELECTRONICS VULNERABILITY DIVISION ATTN AFIL, DASA TECH LIBRARY 2 COPIES ATTN STVL, VULNERABILITY DIRECTORATE ATTN DDST, SCIENTIFIC ASST, P. HAAS ATTN APSI (ARCHIVES) CCHMANDER FIELD COMMAND Defens: Nuclear Agency Kirliand Afd, New Mexico 87115 Attn Fcta-A Technical Library CHIEF LIVEPHORE DIVISION, FIELD COMMAND, DNA LAWRENCE LIVERHORE LABORATORY P.O. 60X 800 LIVERHORE, CALIFORNIA 94550 ATTN DOCUMENT CONTROL DIRECTOR OF DEFENSE RES AND ENGINEERING WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301 ATTN SPECIAL ASST (NET TECH ASSESS) N.F. MIKNER ATTN DEP DIR (STRATEGIC SYSTEMS) ATTN ASST DIR (ELECT+PHYS SCI) G.H. HEILER CHAIRMAN JCINT CHIEFS OF STAFF WASHINGTUN, D.C. 20301 ATTN J-5, PLANS AND POLICY (R AND D DIVISION) ATTN J-6, CSO-1 ATTN J-6 LCOL LOGSDEN DIRECTOR JOINT STRAT TARGET PLANNING STAFF DFFUTT AFB DVAHA, NERRASKA 68113 ATTN JLTN ATTN JPST CHIEF NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYS SUP CENTER THE PEY'AGON Washinjion, O.C. 20301 ATTM B210 RM B6 685 DTRECTOR NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY FORT GEORGE G. NEADE, NARYLAND 20755 ATTN D. D. VAN GUNTEN, R-425 ATTN TOL ATTN SGG KEN EDWARDS

يهده الهبد يصوف فمنتجا المتعاقفات

.

HEADQUARTERS EUROPEAN COMMAND J-5 APO NEW YORK, NEW YORK 09128 ATTN ECJE-WP HEADQUARTERS US EUROPEAN COMMAND (S=6) APO NEN YORK, NEW YORK 09055 ATTN ECCE-PT CCMMANDER-IN-CHIEF Pacific Command FPO San Francisco, California 96610 Attn J305 DIRECTOR REAPONS SYSTEMS EVAL GROUP, ODDRE DFFICE, SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 400 ARMT-NAYY DRIVE MASHINGTON, D.C. 20305 ATTN CAPT DONALD E. MCCOY, USN ATTN H.A. KNAPP, JR. CCMHANDER AARADCOH (ARMY AIR DEFENSE COMMAND) ENT AFB CCLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80912 ATTN ADGP+ W BARNES ATTV ADGPA OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF THE ARMY WASHINGTON DC 20310 ATTN OUSA (OR) DR DANIEL WILLARD ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF FOR CCMMUNICATIONS-ELECTRONICS DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20314 ATTN CEED-7, WESLEY T. HEATH ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF FOR INTELLIGENCE DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20310 -ATTM MITA/OM LIBRARY CCMMANDER-IN-CHIEF USA EUROPE AND 7TH ARMY HQ APO NEH YORK, NEW YORK 09403 ATT' ARAGE-T2 ATTN UDCSCHE, AEAGE-PI OFFICE, CHIEF OF RESEARCH + DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY MASHINGIUN, U.C., 20310 ATTN DARD-HOD, LIC H., SLOAN ATTN DARD-HOD-H, NAJ B GRIGGS ATTN ABMDA-DO CCMMANDER USA ADVANCED BALLISTIC MISSILE DEF AGENCY HVNTSVILLE OFFICE P. O. BDX 1500 HVNTSVILLE, ALABAMA 35807 ATTN RDMH-X ATTN RDMH-X ATTN CRDAH-X ATTN CRDAH-S, ROLAND BROWN CCPMANUER USA SFFFGUARD COMMUNICATIONS AGENCY ATTM DOCUMENT CONTROL FORT HUACHUCA, ARIZONA DS613 ATTM SPECIAL SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES DIR SAFEGUARD SYSTEN MANAGER USA SAFEGUARD SYSTEM OFFICE CCMMONEALTH BULLDING 1320 Milson Blyd. Arlington, virginia 22209 Attn Reentry Physics, Jj. Shea Attn Reentry Physics, Jj. Shea Attn Reentry Physics, Jj. Shea CCMMANDER SAFEGUARD SYSTEM SITE ACTIVATION COMMAND GRAND FORKS P D RUX 631 LANGDON, NORTH DAXOTA 58249 ATTN DEP FOR SITE ACTIVATION COMMANJER USA SAFEGUARD SYSTEMS COMMAND P. O. BDX 1500 MUNISVILLE, ALABAMA 35807 ATTN SSC-STP, J H DAUGHTRY ATTN SSC-STE ATTN SSC-DEM ATTN SSC-DEM, L L DICKERSON ATTN SSC-DH CCMMANDER USA SAFEGUARD SYSTEMS COMMAND FIELD DFFICE BELL TELEPHONE LABORATORIES WHIPPANY RUAD WHIPPANY, NEW JERSEY 07981 ATTN SSC-DEF-B, J TURNER SAFCOM PROJ ENGINEER CCMMANLER USA SAFEGUARDS SYSTEM EVALUATION AGENCY White Sands Hissile Range, nm &&doz Attn SafsEA-EAB Attn Ssea-Rb

الرجواج يؤدارك والمتعاصم ومتعادكم

COMMANDER CLMANDER HQ, US ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND 5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE Alexandria, Virginia 22304 Attn Ancol, dep for Labomatories Attn Ancol, dir Res, dev, + ENGR Attn Ancro-W, John Corrigan Attn Ancro-W, John Corrigan CCMMAYDER US ARMY MATERIEL COMMAYD Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809 Aitn AmcPM-LCES, H Hendricksom Aitn AmcPM-LCES, H Hendricksom Aitn AmcPM-NDE Ait" AmcPM-MDE Ait", AmcPM-MDE, MAJ STANLEY CCHMANDER US ARMY HATERIEL COMMAND FORT HOHMOUTH, NEW JERSEY 07703 ATTN AMCPM-TDS, PROJ MGR, ARMY TACTICAL OATA SYSTEMS (ARTADS) AITN AMCPM-TDS-IF CCMMANDER USA COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS AGENCY Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 Atin Library Atin Seyndur Krevsky, dep Dir Engr CCHMANDER Arry Materials + Mechavics Research Center Waterijan, Hassachusetts Ozi7z Att: Amar-XH, John Disnam COMMANDER USA FOREIGN SCIENCE + TECHNOLOGY CENTER FEDERAL OFFICE BUILDING 220 TH STREET N.E. ARIDITESVILLE, VIRGINIA 22901 ATIN AMKST-TSI O NC CALLUM ATIN AMKST-TSI O NC CALLUM ATIN LIBRARY SERVICES BRANCH CCMMANDER USA SATELLITE CORMUNICATIONS AGENCY Fort Honnojth, New Jersey 07703 Attn Akcpm-SC-6, Mr Perle CCMMANDER USAMC ABERDEEN RESEARCH + DEV CENTER Aberdes" Proving Ground, Haryland 21005 ATT, Akkro-BVL, J.H. Kinch ATTN ARACU-BYLE 3.4. KINCH CCPMANDER USA ELECTRUVICS COMMAND FORT MOMMUTH, NEM JERSEY OT703 ATTN ANSELTL-ND, EST. MUNTER ATTN ANSEL-TL-ND, EST. MUNTER ATTN ANSEL-TL-ND, HK ZIEGLER ATTN ANSEL-TL-DE, HK ZIEGLER ATTN ANSEL-TL-DE, HK ZIETON ATTN ANSEL-TL-DE, HIT LIFTON ATTN ANSEL-TL-DE, M POMERANTZ ATTN ANSEL-TL-NE, M POMERANTZ ATTN ANSEL-TL-NE, DR H A BOUKE ATTN ANSEL-TL-NE, DR H A BOUKE ATTN ANSEL-TL-NE, COMEN A ATTN ANSEL-TL-NE, R FREIBERG ATTN ANSEL-TL-NE, R FREIBERG CCMMANTER USA ELECTRONICS COMMAND Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060 Attn Amsel-NV, CPT Parker CCHMANDER USA MISSILE CONMAND REDSIDUE ARSENAL, ALABAMA 35809 AITN AMSMI-RBLD, CHIEF DOC SECTION AITN AMSMI-RR, HAWK PROJ OFC AITN AMSMI-RR, HAWK PROJ OFC AITN AMSMI-RR, HAWK PROJ OFC AITN AMSMI-RR, MR, LIVELY AITN AMCPM-PE-EA, S D CD2BY CCMMANDER USA MOBILITY EQUIPMENT R + D CENTER FORT BELVOIR, VIRGUITA 22060 ATTI SMEFB-MW, J W BOND ATTI SMEFB-MW, J W BOND ATTI SMEFB-RM, D B DINGER ATTN SMEFB-RM, D B DINGER ATTN SMEFB-HND, F P GOOD ATTN SMEFB-HND, F P GOOD ATTN SMEFB-SK, K J MAAS ATTN SMEFB-ES, R S BRANTLY, JR CCMMANDER USA HUNITIONS COMMAND DOVER, REW JERSEY 07801 ATTN AMSMURRE-CN, SYS DEV DIV, CHEMICAL + NUCLEAR HR WAXLER

. .

.

COMMANDER PICATINNY ARSENAL DOVER, NEW JERSEY O7001 ATIN SHUPA-FR-5 ATIN SHUPA-FR-5, FECHNICAL LIBRARY ATIT, SHUPA-ND, P. ZIRKIND ATIT, SHUPA-ND-C-5 DA AMIND NORDIO ATIT SHUPA-ND-C-5 DA AMIND NORDIO ATIN SHUPA-ND-D6, E J ARBER ATIN SHUPA-ND-06, E J ARBER ATIN SHUPA-ND-06, E J ARBER ATIN SHUPA-ND-06, E J ARBER ATIN SHUPA-ND-75, FOR JANTIP ATIN SHUPA-ND-75, FOR JANTIP ATIN SHUPA-ND-75, FOR JANTIP ATIN SHUPA-ND-40-M, P G DLIVIERI ATIN SHUPA-ND-40-M, P G DLIVIERI ATIN SHUPA-ND-40-M, A GRINUCH ATIN SHUPA-TS-1-E, A GRINUCH COMMANDER USA TEST + EVALUATION COMMAND ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, ND 21005 ATTN AMSTE-LR.I.R.KOLCHIN ATTN AMSTE-NB, R.R. GALASSO CCMMANDIR USA ABLIDEEN PROVING GROUND Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005 Attn Steap-TL, USAARDC BR (DRL) BLD 330 PRESIDENT USA AIRBOARE COMMUNICATIONS + ELECT 5D Fort Brace, North Cardlina 26307 ATTN STEB-MA-A CCHMANDER USA ELECTRONICS PROVING GROUND FCRI HUACHUCA, ARIZONA 85613 AITN STEEP-HT-G AITY STEEP-HT-N, HA HCINIOSH CCPMANDER WHITE SANDS HISSILE RANGE, NM 88002 ATTN STENS-TE-N, M.P. SQUIRES CCHMANIER USACDC NUCLEAR AGENCY FORT BLISS, TEXAS 79916 ATEN CDCNA-E CCMMANDER USACDC ARMJR AGENCY FCRT KNDX, KENTUCKY 40121 ATTN DOCUMENT CONTOL COMMANDER USACDC COMMUNICATIONS-ELECTRONICS AGENCY Fort Monhouth, New Jersey 07703 ATIN CHIEF, M/E DIV CCHMANDER USA COMPUTER SYSTEMS COMMAND FORT BELVOIR, VA 22060 ATTV CSCS-EME-E, E T PARKER ATT: CSCS-EME-C CHIEF OF ENGINEERS Department Of The Army Washington, D.C. 20314 Attn Daen-McE-D, Mr Mc Calley DIVISION ENGINEER USA ENGINEER DIVISION, HISSOURI RIVER P.O. BOX IOS DOWNOUN STATION OFAHA, NEBRASKA 66101 ATTN MRUED-HC, F L HAZLETT, SPEC PROJ CUORDINATON CCHMANDER Arwy Muclear + Chemical Surety Group Fort Belyoir, Virginia 22060 Attn FDSG-ND, Bldg 2073, North Area CCMMANDER US ARM" SECURITY AGENCY Arlington Hall Station Arlington, Va 22212 Attm Iadd-el Attm Special Projects Element CCMMANDER USA STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS COMMAND Fort Huachuca, Arizona 85613 Attn Sccx-SSA-OD Attn Sccx-SSA, Col H J Stirling CCHHANDER USA COMMAND + GENERAL STAFF COLLEGE FCRT LEAVENNORTH, KANSAS 66027 ATTN ATSCS-SE-L CCPMANDER USA FIELD ARTILLERY SCHOOL FORT STUL, OKLAHOMA 73503 ATTM ATSFA-CA-NW

۰.

J

.

.

.

4

. . . .



. •

.

CHIEF OF NAVAL DPERATIONS NAVY DEPARTHENT NSHINGTON, D.C. 20350 Atin NDP-98552, CDR S.I. Stocking Atin NDP-932 Atin NDP-9326 CHIEF OF NAVAL RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22217 ATIN ONR-427 ATIN ONR-418, G.R. JOINER CCMMANDER NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS CONMAND, MQ 1411 Jefferson davis Highway Aring.Dr, Virginia 20360 Atta LCDR Hugo Mardt Air-350-f CCMMANDING DFFICER Naval Ammunition depot Crane, Indiana 47522 Attn Code 7024, James L. Ramsey CCMMANDING DFFICER NAVAL CIVIL ENGINEERING LABORATORY PCRT HUENEHE, CALIFORNIA 93043 ATTN CODE L31 CCMMANDER NAVAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMAND HQ 4401 MASSACHUSETTS AVE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20390 ATTN N-7, LCDR HALL CCMMANDER Naval Electronics Systems Command, HQ 2511 .Ffferson Davis Mighway Aring'dn, Virginia 20360 Attn Nelex-5124, Bert Fox Attn Nelex-5124, Bert Fox Attn Nelex-5124, Bert Fox CCMMANDER NAVAL ELECTRONICS LABORATDRY CENTER SAN DIESO, CALIFORNIA 92152 ATTN TECHNICAL LIBRARY ATTN CODE 3100, E.E. MC COWN ATTN CODE 3200, N.F. WONG CCHMANDER NAVAL INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT CENTER 4301 SUITLAND ROAD MASHINGTON, D C 20390 AITH DR P ALEXANDER AITH NISC-41 CCMMANUCR NAVAL ORDNANCE LABORATORY MITE DAX, MARYLAND 20910 ATTN CODE 121, NUCLEAR PROGRAM DFC ATTN CODE 244, EXPLOSIONS EFFECTIVENESS DIVISION ATTN CODE 431, NORMAN TASLITT ATTN DCE 431, NORMAN TASLITT ATTN DK M C PETREE ATTN R A SMITH ATTN E R RATHBUN CCMMANDER NAVAL ORDNANCE SYSTEMS COMMAND, HQ 2521 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY Arlingion, Virginia 20360 Attn Nord-0523, R. Lane -SUPERIAS ENDENT NAVAL FOSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MCNTEREY, CALIFORNIA 93940 ATTN CODE 2124, LIBRARY DIRECTOR NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY WASHINGTUN, D. C. 20390 ATTN CODE 4004, E. L. BRANCATO ATTN CODE 4045, DR. RICHARD L. STATLER ATTN CODE 7001, J.O. BROWN CCPMANDING DFFICER NAVAL SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INTELLISENCE CONTER 4301 SUITLAND ROAD, BLOG 5 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20390 ATTM DOCUMENT CONTROL CCMMANDER Naval S'IP Engineering Center 3700 E.St-West Highway Prince Seorges Plaza Myattsvile, Mariland 20782 Attn NSEC-61762, Edward F Duffy Attn NSEC-6015C, Edward Berkdwitz CCMMANDER Naval Ship Systems Command, HQ 2531 Jefferson Davis Highway Washington, D.C. 20360 CCHMANDER NAVAL UNDERSEA CENTER SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92132 ATTN CODE 608, C F RAMSTEDT

6 COPIES

CCMMANDER Naval Weapons Center CMINA 1,4KE, California 93555 Att: Code 753, Library Division NAVAL WEAPUNS ENGINEERING SUPPT ACTVY Washington Navy yard Washington, DC 20390 Attn E, S, A, 70 CCMMANDING OFFICER Naval Weapons Evaluation facility Kiatland Arb, New Mexico 81117 Atin Code We, Mex. Stanley Atin L Oliver CCMMANDER NAVAL WEAPONS LABORATORY DAHLGREN, VIRGINIA 22448 ATTN WILLIAM H. HOLT CCMMANDING DFFICER NAVAL * SAPONS STATION CCNCORC, CALIFORNIA 94520 ATTN QUAL EVAL LAB, CODE 33120, DR, ROBERT WAGNER CCHMANDER NAVY ASTRONAUTICS GROUP POINT NUCU, CALIFORNIA 93042 ATTN WILLIAN GLEESON CCMMANDING DFFICER NAVY SPACE SYSTEM ACTIVITY BOX 92960 WGRLDHAYS POSTAL CENTER LCS ANGELES CALIF 90009 ATTN DR EE MUEMLVER CCMMANDER NUCLEAR WEAPONS TRAINING CTR, PACIFIC NAVAL AIR STATION, NORTH ISLAND SAN DIFJO, CALIFORNIA 92135 ATT., CODE 52 CCMMANDER NUCLEAR WPNS TRAINING GROUND, ATLANTIC NGRFOLK, VIRGINIA 23511 ATTN DOCUMENT CONTROL DIRECTUR STRATEGIC SYSTEMS PROJECTS OFFICE NAYY DED ARTHENT WASHINGION, D.C. 20390 ATIN NSP-331, TECHNICAL LIBRARY ATIN NSP-330, DAVID GOLD ATIN NSP-2340, R.A.L. COLEMAN ATIN NSP-2340, R.A.L. COLEMAN ATIN NSP-2701, JOHN W. PITSENBERGER ATIN NSP-2701, PHIL SPECTOR ATIN NSP-2701, COR L STOESSL CCMMANFGR-IN-CHIEF US ATLINTIC FLEET NCRFDLK, VIRGINIA 23511 ATTN DOCUMENT CONTROL CCMMANDER-IN-CHIEF US PACIFIC FLEET FPD SAN FRANCISCO 96610 ATTN DOCUMENT CONTROL(303) CHIEF OF STAFF US AIR FORCE, NO WASHINGIUN, D.C. 20330 ATTN RDOPN (S/V + NUCLEAR PROGRAMS DIV.) ATTN PRCCS, LTC WODORUFF ATTN RDPS, MR DRTER ATTN IGSPB, ED CALVERT CCMMANUSR AEROSPAUE DEFENSE COMMAND ENT AFB, COLORADO 80912 ATTN XPOR, ADVANCED PLANNING DIV. ATTN DEEDS, J C. BRANNAN CCMMANDER AIR UNIVERSITY MAXWELL AFB, ALABAMA 36112 ATTN AUL/LSE-70-230 CCMMANDER HC AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND ANDREWS AFB WASHINGTUN D.C. 20331 ATTN DLSP (GENERAL PHYSICS) CCMMANDER AF AERO PROPULSION LABORATORY, AFSC WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, UHIO 45433 ATTY P E STOVER CCMMANTER Aerospace Research Laboratories, Afsc Wright Patterson Afb, Uhid 43433 Attn Ca Attn Ls, Donald C Reynolos CCHMANDER CCMMANDER AF AVIDYLCS LABORATORY, AFSC WRIGH-PATTERSON AFB, DHID 45433 ATTN AAA, AVIONICS SYNTHESIS + ANAL BR ATTN NYS, R LOGENE C, MAUPIN ATTN NYS, R COKKLIN ATTN TEA, DR MANS MENNECKE

CCMMANDER AF WEAPONS LABORATORY, AFSC KIRILAND AFB, NEW MEXICO B7117 ATTN ELT, MAJ WALKER ATTN SAA ATTN SA ATTN EL, JIARAH ATTN EL, JIDARRAH ATTN ELF/EMP BRANCH ATTN ELF/EMP BRANCH ATTN ELE DR GARL BRUM CCNMANDER ADME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER, AFSC GRIFFISS AFD, NEN YORK 13440 ATTM RCRP, J.S. SMITH ATTM ISGG ATTI: RCRM, CAPT R. BELLEM CCHMANDER Armament Development and test center Eglin Air Force Base, FLA. 32542 Atth Adtc(dLosl), Tech Library COMMANGER AERONAUTICAL SYSTEMS DIVISION, AFSC WRIGHT-PATIERSON AFB, DHID 45433 ATTN ASD/TH-EX, CAPT BRANHAM ATTN ASD/ENVEB, ROBERT L, FISH ATTN ASD/ENVED CCMMANDER FLECTRONIC SYSTEMS DIVISION, AFSC L.G. HANSCOM FIELD BEDFORD, MASSACHUSETTS DI730 ATTM MCAE LIC DAVID SPARKS ATTM KAE, SURVIVABILITY ATTM LCD ATTM, YMES ATTM DCKE ATTM NRP/ KAJ GINGRICH ATTM NCD ATTM NCL CCHMANDER Foreign technology division, AFSC Waight-Patterson AFB, Dhid 45433 ATTN FDDN, Ballard Attn FID/Pojc ATTN FTD/PDJC CCMMANDER HD SPACE AND MISSILE SYSTEMS ORGANIZATION P O 96960 NORLDNAYS POSTAL CENTER LUS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90009 ATTN ASS SYSTEM EMGINEERING ATTN, SKE, DIR OF ENGR GP 1 ATTN, SKE, DIR OF ENGR GP 1 ATTN SKI, J J JUDY ATTN XFI, STRATEGIC SYSTEMS DIY ATTN XFI, AEROSPACE DEFENSE PROG OFC ATTN XJ, AEROSPACE DEFENSE PROG OFC ATTN ZJ, CAPT HARION F SCHWEIDER ATTN CCD, CAPT A. MENDERE JR. ATTN KJ, SYSTEM DEFN+ ASSESSMENT LIC GLIDERT ATTN DYJ, CAPT RASHUSSEN ATTN RFF, MAJ COX SPACE + MISSILE SYSTEMS ORGANIZATION Norton AFS. California 92409 Aytn Sygn. Capt Strubel Aytn RSTA. E A Merrit AF INS"'TUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, AU WRIGHT-PATTERSON AF8, UHIO 45433 ATTN AFITIENPI, DR. CHARLES J. BRIDGHAN, HEADQUARTERS AIRFORCE TECHNICAL APPLICATIONS GENTER PATRICK AFH, FLORIDA 32925 ATTN TO-58 ATTN TO-5 CCMMANDER Strategic air command Offutt afb. Nebraska 63113 Attn. Nri/Stinfo Library Attn. Nrw

.

•

× .

US ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20545 ATTM DIVISION OF HO SERVICES LIBMARY BRANCH, RALPH SHUL, DNA ATT: DNA, DOCUMENT CONTROL FOR ATT: D BRANCH

.

. .

US ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION ALBUQUERQUE OPERATIONS OFFICE P.O. BOX 5400 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87115 ATTN DOCUMENT CONTROL ACMINISTRATOR DEFENSE ELECTRIC POHER ADMINISTRATION DEPT OF INTERIOR WASHINGTUN DC 20204 ATTN IRVING I RAINES ROOM 5600 DEPARTMENT OF CONMERCE National Bureau of Standards Washington, D. C. 20234 ATTY Electron Dev Scct. J.C. French NATIONAL ACADERY OF SCIENCES 2101 CONSTITUTION AVE NV ' WASHINGTON DC 20418 ATIN DR & S THANE NATIONAL MATERIALS ADVISORY BOARD NASA HEADQUARTERS WASHINGTON, D. C. 20566 Attn Code Ree, Guidance, Control And Information Systems ATMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT AGENCY Reference information center Department of State 2201 C Street, N.W. Mashington, DC 22051 Atty CRSC/L Audrey E Edmonds FEDERAL AVIATION DOMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 800 INDEPENDENCE AVENUE, S.H. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20590 ATTN F S SAKATE, RD 650 UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LABORATORY TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION P. O. 80X 808 LIVERNJRE, CALIFORNIA 94551 ATTN L-48, DR. LOUIS F. NOUTERS ATTN L-3, TECHNICAL INFO DEPY ATTN L-3, TECHNICAL INFO DEPY ATTN L-34, HANS KRUGER ATTN L-26, HANS KRUGER ATTN L-26, DR. DAVID OAKLEY ATTN L-156, L L CLELAND ATTN L-156, E K HILLER UNIVEFTITY OF CALIFORNIA LAWREN'E RADIATION LABORATORY LIBRARY, BUILDING 50, RH 134 BERKLEY, CALIFORNIA 94720 ATTN PROF KENNETH H. WATSON UNIVERSITY DE CALIFORNIA ATTN DOCUMENT CONTROL LOS ALANOS SCIENTIFIC LASDRATORY P.D. BOX 1653 LOS ALANOS, NEW MEXICO 87546 ATTN GMX-T, TERRY R. GIBBS ATTN GMX-T, TERRY R. GIBBS ATTN J-DOT FOR DR RALN ATTN J-DOT FOR DR RALN ATTN J-RTHUR FREED UNIVERSITY OF DENVER CGLORADD SEMINARY DENVER RESEARCH INSTITUTE ATTN SECURITY OFFICER P.O. BOX 10127 DENVER. COLORADO 80210 ATTN R.H. BUCHANAN GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY OFFICE OF RESEARCH ADMINISTRATION ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30332 ATTN RES + SFC COORD FOR H DENNY IIT RESEARCH INSTITUTE LO WEST 35TH STREET CHICACO. ILLINOIS 60610 ATTN J.E. BRIDGES, ENGR ADVISOR ATTN J.J. RRSTANSKY, ASST DIR OF RSCH ATTN I.H. MINDEL LIT RESEARCH INSTITUTE Electrumagnetic compatamility analysis center NGRIM Severn-Ecac Blog. Annapolis, Maryland 21402 Attn Acdat HIT LINCOLN LABORATORY P.O. BDX 73 / 244 WOOD STREET LEXINGTON, MASSACHUSETIS 02173 ATTN ALAN G. STANLEY

C.S. DRAPER LABORATORY DIVISION OF HASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY 224 ALBARY STREET CAMBRIDGE HASSACHUSETTS 02139 ATTN KENNETH FERTIG, MS-87



i

-

• 1::

TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY P D BOX 5404 NURTH COLLEGE STA Lubrock, TEXAS 79409 ATTY TRAVIS L SIMPSON <u>ī</u>

6.1

AEROJET ELECTRO-SYSTEMS CO. DIY. AEROJET-GEVERAL CORPORATION P.O. 80X 296 AZUSA, CALIFORNIA 91702 ATIN T.U. HANSCOME, 6181/170 ATTN R.Y. KAKUDA, B-194/D-6121 AEROJET ENERGY CONVERSION COMPANY AEROJET LIQUID ROCKET COMPANY P.O. 80% 13222 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORVIA 95813 ATIN DEPT 8130 AERCJET ENERGY CONVERSION COMPANY AERCJET NUCLEAR SYSTEMS COMPANY P.O. BUX 13070 SACRAMEVICI CALIFORNIA 95813 ATT. TECH LIB, DEPT N4264, BLDG 2019A1 AEROSPACE CORPORATION P O BOX 92957 LCS AVGELES, CALIFORNIA 90009 ATTN FRANCIS HAI ATTN DIR, OFF OF TECH SURVIV V. JOSEPHSON ATTN DIR, SAI SYS DIV, GP II. V. WALL ATTN DIR, SAI SYS DIV, GP II. V. WALL ATTN DIR, SAI SYS DIV, GP IV, F. KELLER ATTN LIBRARY ACUISIION GROUP ATTN WORS EFF DEPT OR J REINHEIMER ATTN NUCLERA + ENVIRONMENTAL STAFF N D STOCKMELL ATTN N JERRY CONJAR ATTN DIR, HARDENEO REENTRY SYSTEMS, ATTN J DENVENISTE AEROSPACE CORPORATION AMERIC/V NUCLEONICS CORP. ATT: SECURITY OFFICER 6036 VARIEL AV. WODLAND HILLS, CALIFORNIA 91364 ATTN DR R N GHOSE ARING RESEARCH CORPORATION WESTERN DIVISION 1222 E NORMANDY PLACE P.O. BOX 1375 SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA 92702 ATTN DEP MGR ENG J M ALDERMAN ART RESEARCH CORPORATION 1100 GLENDON AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA. 90024 ATTN ARTHUR SANDERS ATTN T, JORDAN ASTRONAUTICS CORP OF AMERICA 907 S"UTH FIRST ST MILWAUNEE WISCORSIN 53204 ATTN T KERN AVCO SYSTEMS DIVISION 201 LOWELL STREET WILMINGTON, MASSACHUSETTS D1887 ATTN RESEARCH LIBRARY A220, RM 2201 AVCO CORPORATION ELECTROVICS DIVISION 2630 GLENDALE-MILFORD ROAD CINCINNATI, OHIO 45241 AITN RON GOLDFAR8 AVCO-EVERETT RESEARCH LABORATORY 2385 REVERE BEACH PARKWAY EVERETT MASS 02149 ATTY LORAIN NAZZARO BATTELLS HEHORIAL INSTITUTE 505 KING AVENUE CCLUMBUS, UHID 43201 ATTN R.K. THATCHER ATTN STUIAC BEECH AIRCRAFT CORPORATION 9709 EAST CENTRAL AVENUE WICHITA, KANSAS 67201 ATTN EDWARD L. RADELL BELL AEROSPACE COMPANY DIVISION OF TEXTRON, INC, P.O. 80X 1 BUFFALD, NEW YORK 14240 ATTN MS F-11, MARTIN A. HENRY ATTN CARL 8 SCHOCH, WPNS EFFECTS GP

BELL T. EPHONE LABORATURIES, INC. MOUNTAIN AVENUE HURRY HILL, NEW JERSEY 07974 ATTN H. JARRELL, RH WH-2F-153 ATTN 1.G. DURAND ATTN R.N. TAFT, RM 2B-181 ATTN A.H CARIER, WH-4522 ATTN H.J DETZEL ATTN FRANK P.ZUPA

BELL TELEPHONE LABORATORIES, INC INTERSTATE 65 AT MT. HOPE CHURCH ROAD P.O. BOX 21447 GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA 27420 ATTN CHARLES E. BOYLE ATTN JAMES F. SWEENEY BENDIX CORPORATION, THE AEROSPACE SYSTEMS DIVISION 3300 PLYMOUTH RCAD ANN AFIJK, PICHIGAN 48107 ATTY MR. RUNALD H. PIZAREK BENDIX CORPORATION, THE ATIN DOCUMENT CONTROL CCHMUNICATION DIVISION JCPPA RDAD, TOWSON BALTIMDRE, MARYLAND 21204 ATIN DOCUMENT CONTROL BENDIX CORPORATION RESEARCH LABORATORIES DIVISION BENDIX CENTER SCUTHFIELD, MICHIGAN 48075 ATTN MANGER, PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT, MR. DONALD J. NIEMANS BENDIX CORPORATION, THE NAVIGATIUN AND CONTROL DIVISION TETERGOOD, NEW JERSEY 07600 ATT, E.E. LADEMANN ATTN T. LAVIN, DEPT 7111 ATTN CHIEF LIBRARIAN, YUDIA FARRELL 80EING COMPANY, THE P 0 80X 3999 Seattle, Washington 98124 Attn D L Dye, 2-6005, 45-21 BOEING COMPANY, THE P.O. 80X 3707 SEATLE, WASHINGTON 98124 ATTN R.S. CALDWELL, MS 2R-00 ATTN M.H. WICKLEIN, MS 1F-51 ATTN A.R. LOWREY, MS 2R-00 ATTN A.R. LOWREY, MS 2R-00 ATTN AEROSPACE LIBRARY ATTN BL CARLSOY MS 4240 BODZ-A'LEN APPLIED RÉSEARCH, INC 106 Apple Street New Shrensbury, New Jersey 07724 Attn Frederick Newton BRADDOCK, DUNN + MC DONALD, INC. P D BOX 8885 STATION C Albuquerque, New Mexico 87108 ATTN ROBERT 8. BUCHANAN 8RADDOCK, DUNN + MC DONALD, INC. 8027 LEESBURG PIKE MC LEAN, VIRGINIA 22101 ATIN J.V. 8RADDOCK ATIN J.J. KALINDWSK1 BROWN ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC. Research Park Huntsville, Alabama 35807 Attn D. Lambert, MS 126 CALSPAN CORPORATION P D BJK 235 Buffalu, New York 14221 ATTN R H DICKHAUT, BLDG 10, §M 341. CHRYSLER CORPORATION DEFENSE DIVISION P D BOX 757 DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48231 ATIN R.F. GENTILE, CIMS 435-01-21 COLLINS RADIO COMPANY 5225 C AVENUE, N. E. CEDAR RAPIDS, IDWA 52406 ATIN E.E. ELLISUN, LIBRARIAN CCMPUTER SCIENCES CORPORATION P D BOX 530 FALLS CHURCH, VIRGINIA 22046 ATT., JDHN D ILLGEN CUTLER HAMMER, INC. AIL DIVISION CCMAC ROAD DEER PARK, NEW YORK 11729 ATTN CENTRAL TECHNICAL FILES, ANNE ANTHONY ATTN RICHARD J MOHR DIKEWOOD CORPORATION, THE 1009 BRADBJRY DRIVE, S.E. UNIVERSITY RESEARCH PARK ALBUQUEROUE, NEW MEXICO 67106 ATTN LLUYD WAYNE DAVIS E-SYSTEMS INC GREENVILLE DIVISION MAJOR FIELD P O Bry 1056 GREENVILLE, TEXAS 75401 ATTN LIBRARY

EFFECTS TECHNOLOGY, INC. 5383 HOLISTER AVENUE SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA 93105 ATTN LOWARD JOHN STEELE EG+G; INC SAN RAHON OPERATIONS P.O. BOX 204 SAN RAMON, CALIFORNIA 94583 ATTN BURNELL G. WEST EG+G, INC. P.O. 80X 4339 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106 Attn Wevland D. George Attn Hilda Hoffman ENERSON ELECTRIC COMPANY 8100 FLURISSANT ST LOUIS, MISSOURI 63136 ATTN DOCUMENT CONTROL ENERGY CONVERSION DEVICES, INC. 1675 WEST HAPLE ROAD TROY, HICHIGAN 48084 ATTN LIONEL ROBBINS FAIRCHILD INDUSTRIES Sherman Fairchild Technology Center Fairchild Drive Germantum, Maryland 20767 Aitn Leunard J, Schreißer FAIRCHILD CAMERA + INSTRUMENT CORP 464 ELLIS STREET HOUNTA'N VIEN CALIFORNIA 94040 ATT.: SECURITY DEPT FOR 30-204 DAVID K MYERS GARRETT CORPORATION, THE 9851 SEPULVEDA BLVD LOS ANGELES, CA 90009 ATTM ROBERT WEIR, DEPT 93-9 GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION CONVAIR AEROSPACE DIVISION SAN DIEGO OPERATIONS P-O. BOX 1950 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92112 ATTN V J SWEENEY, INTERDIV RESEARCH GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY APOLLO + GROUND SYSTERS, HOUSTON 1300 NASA BOULEYARD PJO. BJ7 52408 HOUSTOL, TEXAS 77058 ATTN H.E. SHARP GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY P.O. BDX 1122 Syracuse, New York 13201 Attn HHES, BLOG 1, RN 4, J.R. Greenbaum ATTN CSP 6-7. L.H. DEE GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY AEROSPACE ELECTRONICS DEPARTMENT FRENCH ROAD UTICA, VEN YORK 13502 ATIN W.J. PATTERSON, DROP 233 ATIN MAIL STA 624 FRED NICOTERA GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY P.O. BOX 5000 BINGHAMION, NEW YORK 13902 ATTE B.H. SHOWALTER, MD 160 GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY ORONANCE SYSTEMS IGO PLASTICS AVENUE PITTSFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS 01201 ATIN DAVID CORMAN, MN 2276 GENERAL ELECTRIC CUMPANY 100 HODDLAHN AVE PITTSFIELD, HASSACHUSETTS 01201 ATTN FRANK FISHER, BLDG 9-209 GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY RE ENTRY + ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS DIVISION 9 O BUX 7722 Philadelphia, pennsylvania 19101 Attn Robert V. Benedict ATTN RUBERT V. BENEDICI GENERA: ELECTRIC COMPANY SPACE JUVISION Valley forge Space Center PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19101 ATTN JOSEPH C. PEDEN, CCF 8301 ATTN RADIATION EFFECTS LAB, J.L. ANDRENS ATTN LIBRARIAN, L.I. CHASEN ATTN LIBRARIAN, L.I. CHASEN ATTN J.P. SPRATT, RM 9421 GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY TEMPO-CENTER FOR ADVANCED STUDIES 816 STATE STREET SANIA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA 93102 ATTN DASIAC

-

•

•. .

J

.

in the second second

GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION DELCO "LECTRONICS DIVISION 7229 SLITH HOWELL AVENUE DAK CREEK, MISCUNSIN 53201 ATTN TECHNICAL LIRARAY 2A07, E.T. KRUEGER GENERAL RESEARCH CURPORATION DENERRE RESERVED CONFIGNATION P.O. BUX 3567 SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA 93105 ATTN TECH INFO OFFICE FOR R.D HILL GENERAL RESEARCH CORPORATION 1501 WILSON BLVD. ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22209 ATTN DR WILLIAM JOHNSON GODDYEAR AEROSPACE CORPORATION ARIZONA DIVISION LITCHFIELD PARK, ARIZUNA 85340 ATTN B MANNING GRUMMA4 AERDSPACE CORPURATION SCUIM JYSTER BAY ROAD Bethpale, Ven York 11714 Atty J. Rogers, playt 35, dept 535 GTE SYLVANIA, INC. 77 A STREET NEEDHAN, HASSACHUSETTS 02194 ATTN LTR, C. THORNHILL ATTN ELECT SYS GO S/V ENG DEPT J A VALORON ATTN J H TERRELL ATTN ELECT SYST DIV, L BLAISDELL GTE SYLVANIA, INC. CCMUUNICATIONS SYSTEMS DIVISION 109 B STREET NEEDHAM, HASSACHUSETTS 02194 ATTN SY ENG, DEPT. J.A. HALDRON ATTM, ASM OEPT E P HOTCHOK ATT. ASM OEPT E P HOTCHOK ATT. ASM OEPT S A FIERSION HAZELTINE CORPORATION PULASKI ROAD GREEN LAWN NEW YORK 11740 ATTN J B COLOMBD HERCULES INCORPORATED Bacchus Plant Pg Box 98 Magna Utah 84044 Attn 100x-26-w R Woodruff HONEYHELL, INC. AFROMAUTICAL DIVISION 2000 RIDGWAY ROAD MINNERAYOLIS, MINNESOTA 55413 ATT: ROVALD R. JOHNSON, 41391 ATT: LIBRARY V BARILETT R3679 HILLIGARAN Y DARED ACROSPACE DIVISION 13350 U.S. HIGHWAY 19 SI. PETERSSURG, FLORIDA 33733 ATTN RR. MARNISUM H. NOBLE, STAFE PHOLMER, MS 725-5A ATTN. ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT, JAKES D. ALLEN 724-5 ATTN MS 725-5, R.C. SCHRADER HONEYWELL, INC. RADIATION CENTER 2 FORBES ROAD Lexingfon, Massachusetts 02173 AITN TECHNICAL LIBRARY HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY CENTINELA AVENUE AND THALE STREET CULVER JITY, CALIFORNIA 90230 ATT:, R+D DIVISION, ATD, DAN BHORE (M.S. D147) ATTN MS 6/E110 B.W. CAMPBELL HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY Ground Systems Group 1901 West Halvern Avemue Fullerton, California 92034 ATTY Technical Libbary Blog 600 MS-C-22 INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES 400 Army-Navy Drive Arlington, Virginia 22202 Attn Classified Library INTELCOM/RAD TECH INTELCOM/RAD TECH PO BOX SOAL7 SAN DIF: D, CALLFORNIA 92138 ATT:: DR V A J VAN LINT ATTN R L MERT ATTN JAMES A NABER ATTN LEO D COITSER ATTN TERRY M FLANIGAN

50

. •

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORP. ATTN FRANK FORK 13827 ATTN FRANK FRANKDYSKY INTERNATIONAL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH CORPORATION 492 River Road Nutley, New Jersey Otilo Attn Defense-Space Group, Shts, Frank Johnson Atty Allxander L. Richardson KAMAN SLIENCES CORPORATION KAMAN NUCLEAR DIVISION 1700 GARUEN OF THE GODS MOAD COLORADD SYRINGS, COLDRADD 80907 ATTH DR. ALBERT P. BRIDGES ATTN UR. FRANK H. SHELTUN ATTN J.R. HUFFMAN ATTN N-GAMMA LAB, DON BRYCE ATTN NEGATA LED, DON DATCE LOCKHEED NISSILES AND SPACE COMPANY 3251 Handver Street Ald Alto, California 94304 Attn Dr. Clarence F. Nooi, Dept. 52-11, Bldg. 204 Attn Dr. Llovd Chase Attn, Dr. H Walt, Dept 52-10, Bldg 201 Attn Dr. & Walt, Dept 52-20, Bldg 20 LOCKHEED MISSILES AND SPACE COMPANY DIVISI'N OF LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORP. P.O. B'X 504 SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94088 AITN H. SCHNEEMAN: 51-62 AITN MISS, DEPT 51-63, BLDG 154 AITN N. MUNSQU, GEPT 51-01, BLDG 154 AITN N. NOLYOPLIK, TECHNICAL INFORMATION CEVIER, BLOG 201 AITN G F HEATH 0/81-14 8/154 AITN DET 85-65, BLOU 154 AITN DET 85-65, BLOU 154 AITN L J ROSSI 0/81-62 B/150 AITN KEVIN MC CARTHY LTV AFRO SPACE CORPORATION VCUGHT MISSILES + SPACE COMPANY TEXAS DIVISION P.O. ROX 6267 DALLAS, TEXAS 75222 ATT., TECHNICAL DATA CENTER NARTIN MARIETTA CORPORATION DEVLER DIVISION P.O. BOX 179 DENVER, COLORADO 80201 ATTN 6017 RESEARCH LIBRARY J R MC KEE ATTN, SPECIAL PROJECTS MAIL 0130 MARTIN MARIETTA CORPORATION ORLANDO DIVISION P.D. BUS 5837 DRLANDU, FLORIDA 32805 ATTN W.H. MRAS, MP-413 ATTN ENG LIBRARY, M.C. GRIFFITH, MP-30 MCDONNELL DOUGLAS CORPURATION 5301 BOLSA AVENUE HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92647 ATT: N L ANDRADE, MS 17 BBDO ADV ELECT/R+D HCDDWNELL DDUGLAS CORPJRATION P.O. BOX 516 ST. LOJIS, MISSOURI 63166 ATTN UR TOM-ENDER, DEPT 313, BLDG 33 ATTN LIURARY MISSION RESEARCH CORPORATION 1 PRESIDIO AVENUE Santa Barbara, california 93101 Attn. C.L. Longmire Attn. William Hart MISSION RESEARCH CORP PO BOX 1886 Albequerque New Mexico 87103 ATIN DAVID E MEREMETHER ATIN JAMES LONERGAN MITRE UDRPORATION, THE ROUTE 62 AND MIDDLESEX TURNPIKE P.O. BUX 208 BEPORD, MASSACHUSETTS 01730 ATTN LIBRARY ATTN M.E. FITZGERALD ATTN THEODORE JARVIS MCTOROLA, INC. GEVERNMENT ELECTRONICS DIVISION 8201 EAST MC DOWELL ROAD SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA 82252 ATTN PHILIP L. CLAR ATTN TECH INFO CENTER-A J KORDALEWSKI

.

NORTH AMERICAN ROCKWELL CORPORATION 3370 MIRALUMA AVENUE ANAHEIM, CALIFORNIA 92803 ATT'I MIVUTEMAN OFC, CA 107, D C BAUSCH ATT., J BELL ATTN G, MESSENGER ATTN G, MESSENGER ATTN J S MATYUCH FATO ATTN N E AYRES ATTN J SPETZ NORTH AMERICAN AVIATION-COLUMBUS NORTH AMERICAN ROCKWELL CORPORATION 4300 EAST FIFTA AVENUE CCLUMBUS, UMIO 43216 ATTN ENGINEERIME DATA SERVICES, J. ROBERTS J. ROBERTS NORTH AMERICAN ROCKWELL CORPORATION LOS ANGELES DIVISION 5601 WEST IMPERIAL HIGHWAY LOS ANSELES, CALIFORNIA 90009 ATT' DOVALO J STEVENS EMI/EMP+RCS AVIONICS DESIGN ATTN TIC BAOB NORTH AMERICAN ROCKWELL CORPORATION SPACE DIVISION 12214 LAREHODD BOULEVARD DCWNEY, CALIFORNIA 90241 ATTN TIC DEPT 096-AJ01 NGATHROP CORPORATION NGATHROP CORPORATE LABORATORIES 3401 WEST BROODWAY HAWTHORNE, CALIFORNIA 90250 ATTN DIR., SQLIDO STATE ELECTRONICS, DR. ORLIE L. CURTIS, JR. ATTN HR. JAMES P. RAYMOND ATTN LIDRARY NCRTHRUP CURPORATION ELECTRONIC DIVISION 2301 #51 I20-TH STREET HAWTHORNE, CALIFORNIA 90250 ATTN BOYCE T. AHLPORT ATTN T6114, V R DE MARTINO PALISADES INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH SERVICES, INC. 201 VARICK STREET NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10014 ATTN RECORDS SUPERVISOR PHILCO-FORD CORPORATION AEROSPACE + DEFENSE SYSTEMS OPNS AEROMUTRONIC DIVISION FORD AND JANBORGE ROADS NENPORT HEACH, CALIFORNIA 92663 ATTN DR. L. H. LINDER ATTN & PONCELET JR ATTY K C ATTINGER PHILCO-FORD CORPORATION WESTERN DEVELOPMENT LABORATORIES DIV. 3939 FABLAN WAY PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94303 ATTN LIBRARY ATTN E R HAHN, MS-X22 ATTN S CRAHFORD, MS-S31 PULSAR ASSOCIATES, INC. 7911 HERSCHEL AVENUE LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92037 ATTN CARLETUN JONES RCA CORPORATION GOVERNMENT AND COMMERCIAL SYSTEMS MISSILE AND SURFACE RADAR DUVISION MARNE HIGHAAY AND BDRION LANDING ROAD MOORESTOWN, NEW JERSEY 08057 ATT. ELEANOR DALY RCA CORPORATION Government and commercial systems Astro electronics division P.O. BOX BOO Princeton, new jersey 08540 Attn DR. George Brucker RCA CORPORATION DAVID SARNOFF RESEARCH CENTER 201 WASHINGTON ROAD WEST WINDSOR TOWNSHIP PrinceTown, New Jersey 08540 Attn William J. Dennehy RCA CORPORATION ATTN DANIEL HAMPEL ADV COMM LAB RCA C(FPDRATION CAMDEN COMPLEX FRONT + COOPER STREETS CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY DADI2 ATTN E. VAN KEUREN, 13-5-2

• _ *

R + D ASSOCIATES P. D. 83X 3580 Santa Monica, California 90403 Atin William Karzas Atin K.R. Schaefer Atin DR WN R Graham Atin Robert A. Poll Atin S Clay Rogers RADIATION INCORPORATED P+0+ 50X 37 MELEGORNE, FLORIDA 32902 ATT4 JOHN M- TURNER ATT. E V ROOS+ MS 16-156 RAND CORPORATION: THE 1700 Main Street Santa Monica: California 90406 Attn C.M. Crain RAYTHEON COMPANY 528 BOSTON POST ROAD Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776 Attn Marcld L. Flescher Attn D.R. Jones RESEAACH TRIANGLE INSTITUTE P.O. BOX 12194 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK. NORTH CARDLINA 27709 Attn Eng. • Environ. Sciences Div., Dr. Mayrant Simons, JR. SANDERS ASSOCIATES, INC. 95 CANAL SIREET NASHUA, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03060 ATTN ML AITEL ATTN 1-6270, R G DESPAIHY, SR PE SANDIA LABORATORIES ATTN DOCUMENT CONTROL P. O. BOX 5000 ALBUQUEROUE, NEW MEXICO 87115 ATTN ORG 50, A NARATM ATTN ORG 9353 R L PARKER ATTN ORG 9353 R L PARKER ATTN ORG 9231, C N VITTITOE ATTN URG 1426, J A CODPER ATTN URG 1426, J A CODPER ATTN J O APPEL ATTN J O APPEL ATTN J A KANE SANDIA ' ABORATORIES LIVERMAZE LABORATORY ATTN DOCUMENT CONTROL P.C. BOX 969 LIVERMDRE, CALIFORNIA 94550 VERMORE,CALIFONNIA 94350 ATIN T.A. DELLIN ATIN K.A. MIICHELL, 8157 ATIN G DIEY 8178 ATIN J.L. WIRTH 8340 ATIN J.L. WIRTH 8340 ATIN J.A. MOGFORD DIV 8341 SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INC HUNTSVILLE DIVISION 2109 W CLINTON AVE SUITE 700 HUNTSVILLE ALABARA 35805 ATTN N R BYEN SINGER-GENERAL PRECISION, INC 1150 HC BRIDE AVENUE LITYLE FALLS, NEW JERSEY 07424 ATTW ABRAHAM WITFELES, RADIATION EFFECTS SUPERVISOR, 3-5820 SPERRY RAND CORPORATION Sperry Gyroscope Division Great Neck, New York 11020 Attn Paul Marraffing, Dept 4282 SPERRY RAND CORPORATION UNIVAC DIVISION DEFENSE SYSTEMS DIVISION P.O. BOX 3525, MAIL SIATION 1931 SI, PAUL, MINNESOTA 55101 ATTN DENNIS AMUNDSON, MS 5261 ATTN J.A. INDA, MS 5451 ATTY A BROWN, MS 8931 ATTY A BRCHN, MS 0931 SPERNY TAID CORPORATION SPERNY FLIGHT SVSTENS DIVISION P 0 BOX 2592 MITH PAT DEVILLIER ATTN PAT DEVILLIER ATTN DA SCHOW, RM 104C STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE 333 RAYENSWOOD AVENUE HENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA 94025 ATTN HR. PHILLIE DOLAM ATTN DR. ROBERT A. ARMISTEAD ATTN JA. BAER, JIO15 STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE 306 WYNN DRIVE, N.W. Huntsville, Allabara 35805 Attn SR RES Eng, M. Horgan Attn Hargold Carey Att" William Druen SUNDSTRAND AVIATION 4747 HARRISON AVENUE ROCKFORD, ILLINDIS 61101 ATTN DEPT 7635W, CURT WHITE

SYSTEMS, SCIENCE AND SOFTWARE, INC. P.D. BOX 1620 LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92037 ATTN GLEN SEAY SYSTRON-DOWNER CORPORATION 200 San Miguel Road Congord, California 94520 Aitn Harold D. Morris TEXAS INSTRUMENTS, INC. P.O. BIY 5474 Dallas, Texas 75222 ATIN R + O PROJECT HANAGER, MR DONALD J MANUS, MS 72 ATIN RADIATION EFFECIS PROGRAM MGR., MR. GARY F. HANSON DR. GART F. HANSUM TRN SYSTEMS GROUP ONE SPACE PARK REDONDO BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90278 ATTN MR. R. KINUSLAND ATTN MR. R. KINUSLAND ATTN BR. A. ANDERAN ATTN BR. A. ANDERAN ATTN ECH INFO CENTER/S-1930 ATTN LILLIAN SINGLETARY RI/2154 ATTN DR. M.A. ROBINSON, RI/2028 ATTN R M. WHITMER ATTN R M. MOLMUD ATTY JAMES GORDON TRN SVSTEMS GAOUP SAN BERNARDINO OPERATIONS P4.0. BOX 1310 SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA 92402 ATTN 1.N. GORANG, MGR, WPN SYS ENG ATTN 0.H. PUGSLEY ATTN 6.H. ALLEN ATTN 1.F. ALLEN ATTN 1.F. ALLEN ATTN 1.F. DANKE ATTN R. H. KARCHER, MS 526/712 UNITED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION HAMILTON STANDARD BRADLEY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT WINDSOR LOCKS, CONNECTICUT 60369 ATTY RAYMOND G GIGUERE UNITED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION NORDEN DIVISION HELEN STRELT NCRWALF: CUNNECTICUT 06851 ATT CORRAD CORDA WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION Defense and Space Center Defense and Electronic Systems center P.O. Box 1993 Baltimore, Haryland 21203 Atth Henry P Kalapaca, MS 3519 WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER 1310 BEULAH ROAD (CHURCHILL BOR) PITTSBURCH, PENNSYLVANIA 15235 ATTN WILLIAM E. YEWLLL WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION ASTRONUCLEAR LABORATORY P 0 804 1084 Pittsbu-Goth, pennsylvania 15236 ATTN P.N. DICKSON ATTW P.H. DICKSOW HARRY DIAMOND LABORATORIES ATTM EINSEL, DAVID W., COL. COMMANDING DEFICER/CARTER, W.W. GUARING, P.A. KALMUS, H.P./SOMMER, H. ATTM HOKTON, B.M., TECHNICAL DIRECTOR WILLIS, B.F. ATTM AFSTEIN, K., 002 ATTM CHIEF, LO20 ATTM CHIEF, LAB 100 ATTM CHIEF, LAB 100 ATTM CHIEF, LAB 500 ATTM CHIEF, CO24 ATTM CHIEF, 024 ATTM DL IDRARY 4 COPIES ATTM CHIEF, 024 ATTM JOHNENS, J E, 270 ATTM CHIEF, 1030 ATTM AMF FRNER 1000 ATTM AMF FRNER ATTM JANSK KLEBENS MAF 4 COPIES 20 COPIES

.

ļ

٠.

_

- ----

5

-

. . .

. . . .

• • •

· .

. . . .

UNCLASSIFIED	
Security Classification	
DOCUMENT CONT	ROL DATA - R & D
(Security classification of title, body of abatract and indexing	annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)
. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	28. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
Very Disport Isherstories	Unclassified
Washington, D.C. 20438	25. GROUP
REPORT TITLE	
SIMULATION OF A SIMPLE LORENTZ PLASMA WITH LOADED DIPOLES	A RANDOM DISTRIBUTION OF INDUCTIVELY
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)	
Technical Report	
5. AUTHOR(5) (First name, middle initial, last name)	
George Merkel	
REPORT DATE	TH. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES Th. NO. OF REES

6. REPORT DATE		74. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	75. NO. OF REFS			
November 1973		54	26			
SA. CONTRACT OR GR	ANT NO.	94. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT N	UMBER(\$)			
5. PROJECT NO.	DNA Subtask R990AXEB088 Work Unit 04 MIPR-73-589	HDL-TR-1637				
• AMCMS Code:	691000.22.63516	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(\$) (An this report)	ny other numbers that may be assigned			

d. HDL Proj: E053E3

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY
	Defense Nuclear Agency
	WOLK UNIT TITLE "Source-Region Coupling"

The feasibility of simulating a simple Lorentz plasma with an artificial dielectric constructed from a random distribution of inductively loaded dipoles is investigated. Both the differences and similarities between the macroscopic electromagnetic properties of the artificial dielectric and a Lorentz plasma are discussed.

DD 1 NOV 48 1473 REPLACES DO FORM 1473, 1 JAN 84, WHICH IS

ويصحبه بالأف فحاذ الرزار الجوار الك

UNCLASSIFIED

· . .

14.	LIN	K A	LIN	кв	LIN	кс
KEY WORDS	ROLE	wτ	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WТ
Nuclear Electromagnetic Pulse						
Artificial Dielectric						
Ionized Air						
Inductively Loaded Dipoles						
54 UNCLASSIFIED						
_		Security	Classific	cation		•

л **С**

11. P. 1

٠.